

Review of: "Coconut flower - market potential and future forecast in the Coconut Land (Bến Tre), Vietnam"

Jacob Solomon Raju Aluri

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare. No competing interests to declare

Comments

1. The manuscript title is not quite appropriate because the work reported speaks mostly about sap from spadix.
2. To my knowledge, the flowers do not produce 0.6 ml of nectar.
3. There is no consistency in the usage of terminology –nectar, sap, neera tapping.
4. In a scientific article, only technical terms should be used.
5. Rainy season is stated to be more ideal for Neera (nectar or sap or both) collection as its collecting during this period is more profitable than its collection during dry season. The work does not address about the constituents and their concentrations in terms of quality in Neera that is collected during rainy season and dry season.
6. The work reported in this manuscript is mostly a review with little field information supported by photographs.
7. Conclusions should be confined to a single paragraph only.

I suggest to the authors to check whether it is nectar or sap or something else that comes out of spadix/flower cuts.

All these points should be taken into account for the revision of the manuscript. Avoid repetition of the same content in the text of the manuscript and in conclusions part. There is a huge scope for reducing the length of the manuscript.

Therefore, I do not recommend the manuscript in the present form for publication.