

Review of: "The Relationship Between the Perception of Economic Risks and Other Risks Measured in Different and Separate Environments"

Athanasios Kampas¹

¹ Agricultural University of Athens

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I read with interest the manuscript entitled "The Relationship Between the Perception of Economic Risks and Other Risks Measured in Different and Separate Environments" by Amotz Perlman. Here's a polished version of your text:

The issue examined is quite interesting, although I have some reservations about the manuscript. These are:

- 1) I am not convinced that the research questions have been adequately justified by the literature cited.
- 2) When a study employs primary data, there is always a need to justify the sampling method used (what, how, and why).
- 3) The choice of methodology needs to be justified, including consideration of alternatives and how well the data fit the chosen approach.
- 4) The determinants included in regression models must be justified.
- 5) The correlation coefficient typically ranges from -1 to 1. Please verify this range in Tables 1, 3, and 5.
- 6) A measure of fit, usually the R-squared estimate, should be included in the regression results.
- 7) The main conclusion of the manuscript on page 11: "These findings indicate that one's ability to identify and assess risks in general activities." Is extremely interesting. What is the theory or hypothesis behind it? What does the literature tell about it?