

Review of: "Conflict Resolution Applications to Peace Studies"

Yaser Snoubar¹

¹ University of Qatar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article "Conflict Resolution Applications to Peace Studies" by Mohammed Saaida presents several strengths, such as a comprehensive exploration of mediation and negotiation within the context of conflict resolution and peace studies. It blends theoretical insights with practical implications, emphasizing the role of ethical considerations. The methodology - encompassing content analysis, case study, and historical analysis - adds depth to the research, providing a well-rounded understanding of the subject matter.

However, there are weaknesses that need attention before considering the article acceptable for publication:

Lack of Empirical Data: While the article provides a thorough theoretical framework and discusses various methodologies, it lacks empirical data to support its claims. Including data from real-world applications of mediation and negotiation would strengthen the argument and provide evidence of effectiveness.

Specificity in Recommendations: The article ends with broad recommendations without offering detailed strategies or steps for implementation. For practical applicability, the article could benefit from including specific, actionable recommendations for practitioners and policymakers.

Ethical Consideration Depth: Although it mentions the importance of ethical considerations in conflict resolution, the article could delve deeper into this aspect. Providing detailed frameworks or models for integrating ethical principles into mediation and negotiation practices would enhance its contribution to the field.

Comprehensive Integration of Disciplines: The interdisciplinary approach of the study is commendable, but the article could elaborate more on how these different disciplines interact and influence each other within the context of peace studies and conflict resolution. A more detailed analysis of this interplay would provide readers with a clearer understanding of the multidisciplinary nature of the field.

Case Study Selection and Analysis: Although the article employs case studies as part of its methodology, it does not detail these case studies or their outcomes sufficiently. Expanding on these examples, including both successes and failures, would offer valuable insights into the practical implications of theoretical models.

Engagement with Counterarguments: The article would benefit from a more robust engagement with counterarguments or opposing views. Presenting and refuting potential criticisms could strengthen the article's argumentation and demonstrate the author's awareness of differing perspectives in the field.

Clarity and Conciseness: In some sections, the article is overly verbose, which may detract from its clarity. Striving for conciseness and avoiding unnecessary jargon would make the article more accessible to a broader audience.

Given these weaknesses, the article, while insightful and informative, requires revision for clarity, empirical support, specificity in recommendations, deeper ethical analysis, comprehensive integration of disciplines, more detailed case analysis, and engagement with counterarguments. Addressing these issues would significantly enhance the article's contribution to the field and its suitability for publication.