Review of: "Why a uniformly accelerated classical charge must radiate"

Shafeeq Tealib[1]

1 National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I partially concur with the conclusions drawn in the article. It is aptly highlighted that scrutinizing Lorentz covariance in specific scenarios involving electromagnetic fields is of critical importance. However, the discussion would benefit from further elaboration through real-world instances. Such examples would shed light on how the failure to validate Lorentz covariance could lead to misleading conclusions. The inquiry into the nature of electromagnetic waves emitted by a point-like source and their transformation is intriguing. Exploring the implications arising from this contradiction with the first postulate, which posits identical physical processes across all inertial frames, would enrich the discourse. The article's conclusions spark thought-provoking inquiries regarding Lorentz covariance within electromagnetic fields and foster critical thinking within the realm of electrodynamics. The article's impact could be amplified by supplementing these points with additional context and real-world examples.