

# Review of: "Digital Identity and Promotion of Research Works – Analytical Study of Social Science Researchers at Taiwanese Institutions"

Hsing-Yu Hou<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Taichung University of Science and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. This article is necessary to follow the APA 7th edition manual style such as some literature in the reference only showing the title is not enough.

- Nkambou, D. D., et al. (2017). "Managing digital identities in the academic workplace."
- Portela, E. R. (2017). "Academic identity in the digital age."

2. I don't know why the authors only selected "National Taiwan University, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Academia Sinica, National Central University, and National Chung Hsing University" for the samples. For social science scholars in Taiwan, there are more representative universities such as National Taiwan Normal University, National Chengchi University, National Sun Yat-sen University etc... There are insufficient information about the samples.

3. In Taiwan, the Ministry of Education(MOE) only required the scholars to manage the Google scholar and analyze the statistical results of researchers. Therefore, I am confused why the authors selected four platforms (Research Gate, Google Scholar, ORCID, and Twitter) to do the analysis. Especially facebook and twitter are not official and formal research platforms at all. This analyses can't present the true evidence of social science scholars in Taiwan and the results are bias.