

Review of: "Evaluating the parameter of visibility adopted for CPTED for user safety in public open space of Haat Bazar: Isovist and VGA"

Behnaz Bahadori¹

¹ University of Tehran

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The following corrections can improve the quality of the article:

- 1- What is the reason for using the methods used in the research?
- 2- The subject of this article is interesting and novel and contains important information that is sufficient to justify its publication.
- 3- The results are not well reviewed and analyzed and do not link other elements of the article together. It is better to refer to the studies that are in line with the research topic in the conclusion section. Explain whether their results confirm the results of the research or not.
- 4- What is the reason for the selected places in this article?
- 5- There is no justification for using the importance of research variables.
- 6- A map of the study area should be added.
- 7- Explain whether important work has been neglected to the best of your knowledge. Does this research cover the theoretical research gap? Research innovation is not well defined.
- 8- Does the article fill the gap between theory and practice? How can this article help urban planners and trustees?