

Review of: "Analyze the Influence of Socio-Economic Conditions of Parents on the Personality of Christian Teachers in Tambaksari District, Surabaya"

Milan Kubiato¹

¹ University of Jan Evangelista Purkyne

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript has got an empirical character. Authors used quantitative approach toward obtaining and analyzing data. The manuscript is focused on the effect socio-economic conditions of parents on the personality of teachers. The text is relatively divided into chapters typical for this kind of research. I have got some comment, which required rewriting of the manuscript. All comments are presented below.

1. The title of the manuscript needs revision. I think it is useless to mention the place, where the research was realized.
2. The abstract needs rewriting. It is too long, there is not needed to present hypotheses or any values. Only write, where were significant differences found out.
3. The Introduction chapter is too long. The good habit in the empirical studies is to divide it into two subchapters. One of them is focused on the definitions of the basic concepts and the second one is focused on the current state of literature. On the second subchapter are fixed hypotheses.
4. Between theoretical background and methodology chapter of the manuscript should be presented aims and hypotheses.
5. The Methodology chapter is not well written. It is not needed to write definition of quantitative approach. The methodology chapter should include typical subchapters for this chapter like information about respondents, research tool, administration of research tool and analyzing of data.
6. The results part includes kinds of information, which are typical for methodology chapter. The information about respondents is unclear. The results are also unclear and without clear and strict results, it is impossible to review the discussion chapter. So please be very detailed in the results and please the discussion should be focused on the verification of the hypotheses.

To sum up, from my point of view, in this form the manuscript has got a low level, so please rewrite it and then it can be reviewed again.

I hope my comments are helpful.

