

## Review of: "Shopping bags: own or plastic? Theoretical explanation of pro-environment consumer behavior in Vietnam"

Norlinda Nohd Rozar<sup>1</sup>

1 Universiti Malaysia Terengganu

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is based on impressive empirical evidence and makes an original contribution. Only minor revisions are needed before it can be published and thoroughly enjoyed reviewing this manuscript and only have some minor requests for revision.

- The authors develop a unique theoretical framework, and they should highlight their originality much more in the extended TPB and TAM.
- The authors conduct very relevant research but fail to emphasise the relevance of their problem or issues; instead, they mention that there are no published studies relating to the choice of shopping bags.
- The authors draw on extensive empirical evidence. Advised to extend the result of the discussion based on their arguments.
- The abstract should be extended to the details of the result instead of just mentioning that "the revised TPB and integrated model of TPB and NAM were a good fit. show in detail why the revised framework is a good fit.
- The manuscript contains an elaborate literature review, but definitions of the key concepts are needed in the introduction.
- Throughout the manuscript, there are several language mistakes. Therefore, I recommend a professional round of language editing before the paper is published.

Qeios ID: 3V7ME5 · https://doi.org/10.32388/3V7ME5