

# Review of: "The Ancient Indian Knowledge System and the Medical Sciences"

S.A.O. Ogirima<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ladoke Akintola University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## The Ancient Indian Knowledge System and the Medical Sciences

### Abstract

- Please, the author should stop using penalized expression such as **their, them, they etc**” like “.....humans for centuries to treat their health conditions, making them one of the oldest known remedies. They have a significant.....” **It should be** “.....humans for centuries to treat health conditions, making it as one of the oldest known remedies. It has a significant.....”.
- Change “research Projects” to “research works”
- The author should move “A study of the Vedas indicates that all four texts contain numerous references to various aspects of medicine. The Atharva Veda, in particular, is considered an encyclopedia of medicine. Additionally, Ayurveda, known as the science of life, is regarded as an Upa Veda or subsidiary subject of the Atharva Veda.” to **Introduction or Literature review**
- Please rewrite the abstract to have introduction, methodology, results, discussion and conclusion

### Introduction/ Background/Literature

- The author should stop using penalized expression such as **we, they etc**” like “When we visit a doctor, they use a stethoscope to examine us, check our tongue and eyes, and sometimes perform nadi pariksha”
- Be specific in your expression
- The earliest corpus of medical works is embodied in the *Atharvaveda*. The Atharvaveda..... (**Not reference or source**)
- The varieties of fever and causes was not **Label** either to be **table** or **Figure**

### Methodology

- The method used to get all the material for this research work was not shown properly
- There should be a questionnaire for this research work to know the view of Nadi people
- The method used for the Nadi-E-Health Analysis Element and highlights recent technological advancements in the field of ancient Indian medicine was not shown.

## **Result/ Discussion**

- No result and discussion section of the manuscript
- The results Nadi-E-Health Analysis was not shown.
- Did author compare his research work with the reviewed work? Is there any research gap?
- What are the findings?

## **Conclusion**

- Poor conclusion
- The results of the finding are needed here
- The results Nadi-E-Health Analysis Element and highlights for recent technological advancements

## **General Observation & Recommendation**

- If all the corrections are done the manuscript is good for publication.
- Finally, it is a good research work.