

Review of: "Tweeting AI: A Machine Learning Approach for Bird Species Detection and Classification"

Ganesh Yenurkar¹

¹ Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering

Potential competing interests: The article is of sound categories but it may have certain limitations as below: The abstract should be focus on the previous problems/ need. Also it may overview the methodology that you will going to use in brief. Abstract should depict the performance of the CNN MODEL. System architecture is missing. Comparative analysis should be there in the literature review. Overall organization of manuscript is NOT good. Statistical analysis and graphical representation is missing. No need to provide the code's screenshot. Figure citations in test is missing. Dataset description should be there. Provided limited citations and wrongly write it. Model validation is not perform

The article is of sound categories but it may have certain limitations as below:

1. The abstract should be focus on the previous problems/ need. Also it may overview the methodology that you will going to use in brief. Abstract should depict the performance of the CNN MODEL.
2. System architecture is missing.
3. Comparative analysis should be there in the literature review.
4. Overall organization of manuscript is NOT good.
5. Statistical analysis and graphical representation is missing.
6. No need to provide the code's screenshot.
7. Figure citations in test is missing.
8. Dataset description should be there.
9. Provided limited citations and wrongly write it.
10. Model validation is not perform