

Review of: "Radiological parameters after LLIF for adjacent-level disease treatment"

Shreeyam Mohapatra

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. the research methodology is not in detail.

2. recent articles not taken in account

Clinical Implications: While you discuss the radiological improvements brought about by LLIF, you could also briefly touch upon the potential clinical implications of these improvements. How might these radiological changes translate into improved patient outcomes and reduced complications?

Future Directions: It would be valuable to conclude the discussion section with a brief paragraph outlining potential future directions for research in this area. Are there any specific aspects that warrant further investigation, or any unresolved questions that your study has brought to light?

Study Design: Provide a bit more information about the timeframe of data collection. Mentioning the duration over which patients were followed or how long postoperative X-rays were taken could provide context for the observed changes.

Study Limitations: While you acknowledge some limitations, you could also briefly discuss the limitations of the LLIF approach itself, potential challenges, or cases where this technique might not be suitable.

Ethical Considerations: If applicable, mention any ethical considerations or approvals obtained for the study.

Overall, your manuscript is well-structured, informative, and provides valuable insights into the radiological effects of using LLIF for treating adjacent-level diseases. With a few additional considerations and minor adjustments, your manuscript should make a valuable contribution to the field of spinal surgery.