

Review of: "Assessment of soil erosion in the Cesar watershed, an initial step toward the restoration of the Cesar River"

Lais Souza¹

¹ Universidade Federal de Pernambuco

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is well structured, and illustrated. However, there is a series of issues to be clarified, in detail. The language quality must be improved. This study is not innovative, but it is complementary to what has already been published in the literature. I recommend publishing only after all questions are answered.

In this manuscript, the authors aim to assessment of soil erosion in the Cesar watershed, an initial step toward the restoration of the Cesar River. I suggest to change the title and to improve the quality of Figure 1.

"Therefore, a better review and estimation of erosion rates in the watershed is possibly urgently required." Didn't find more reviews? The reference 15 is too old (2006).

"This article presents a preliminary iteration of the soil erosion diagnosis for the Cesar watershed so that future work can analyze restoration scenarios for the Cesar River." What research was found in this region?

"Using a $\pm 30\%$ margin of error." Why do the authors consider 30%?