

Review of: "Teaching Method Preference by College Teachers in India"

Lizette Drusila Flores Delgado¹

¹ Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic is very interesting, but I think you need to explain a bit further on some sections to make it publishable. I will try to give you detailed feedback by section:

ABSTRACT:

- “The study aims to understand the pedagogical approaches favored by educators and explores the factors influencing their choices” → Their choices regarding what? This is not clear.
- What do you mean by “a diverse sample”, you need to be more specific. How many?

INTRODUCTION:

- Why is it “essential” to understand the teaching method preferences of teachers? What's the benefit of it? Do not tell us that it is essential, but rather SHOW us.
- You need to state your problem clearer: why is this relevant? What have you noticed that made you want to research on this? How is this useful for education? You mention college, but what is the specific context of your study? What are your research questions?

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE:

- This is very short and there is NO literature. Who are your sources? What has been done on the topic? What is the research gap? What are your main authors, theories, etc?

HYPOTHESIS:

- What you propose here has not been mentioned before. Moreover, this should be part of your introduction. Now, why do you have an hypothesis? Will this study be quantitative? If you want to explore, wouldn't it be better that you have research questions instead?

METHODOLOGY:

- Participants: Be more specific. What kind of teachers? Areas? What are their profiles? If you're studying styles, the kind of classes they teach is relevant as not all classes can be taught in the same way.
- Instruments: Who designed the instrument? Why this choice of instrument? How many questions?

- You haven't specified what type of study this is, what is your approach, your data analysis procedures, ethical considerations, etc. This sections needs to be way more detailed.
- You DON'T HAVE TO include the instrument, it usually goes in your appendix.

RESULTS:

- You just presented a list, but as your methodology is weak, your results seem to be taken out of nowhere. In your additional insights, don't just say "many", "several", if this is a quantitative study you need to mention specifically HOW MANY.

DATA ANALYSIS:

- That is not data analysis, but part of your results.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

- As there is no methodological support nor literature that supports your findings, your discussion is very weak. Remember, the discussion should relate your findings to your analysis and review of the literature.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- Your topic is interesting but your paper needs a lot of work. I suggest you reflect on what you were looking for so that you come up with an appropriate approach and find some related literature that helps you identify your gap, and then, be more specific on your methodology so that your findings can be effectively discussed.