

Review of: "When did post-truth begin? From climate change denial to war-mongering nationalism"

Yiben Ma¹

1 The University of Nottingham Ningbo China

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an important and interesting article that pays scholarly attention to the intertwined connection between climate change denial, nationalism and mis/disinformation. It makes a contribution to the current debate on climate change especially when COP 27 is undergoing in Egypt. There are a few comments and suggestions I would like to make and hopefully they help the author strength the work further.

- 1. The introduction is lengthy and sometimes a bit repetitive e.g. the sentence fake news is 70% more likely to be retweeted is cited twice. The author basically talked about the spread of mis/disinformation on Twitter and the importance of scientific knowledge, but somehow did not make a strong linkage between these ideas with the overall argument relating to nationalism and climate change denial.
- 2. It seems that the author tends to use interchangeably a number of key terms which look similar but in fact are different, such as disinformation, misinformation, fake news, propaganda etc. I think it is necessary to provide some working definitions for these key terms.
- 3. As pointed out by other reviewers, I found the ideas jumpy and the connection between sections needs to be further enhanced. The author provided some very useful discussion about nationalism but again how such discussion can be related more strongly with the overall argument. As claimed in the abstract, the article attempts to discuss how climate change denial is supported by state-led nationalism. As a result, the article needs to have sharper and more focused discussion to support this argument, while at the same time, reducing other materials which are not immediately relevant such as discussion on animal rights.
- 4. The author provided some useful insights as to how media contribute to the spread of climate change denial. Then how is this going to fit into the overall discussion about climate change denial and state-led nationalism?

Overall, this is an important contribution, but the author may need more time to make the whole article tighter and more focused.