

v1: 29 August 2024

Commentary

Decoding the Promiscuous Activity of Bile Salt Hydrolase

Preprinted: 5 August 2024

Peer-approved: 29 August 2024

© The Author(s) 2024. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Qeios, Vol. 6 (2024)
ISSN: 2632-3834

Munishwar Nath Gupta¹, Vladimir Uversky^{2,1}

1. Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India; 2. University of South Florida, United States

The recently identified bile salt hydrolase (BSH) from gastrointestinal bacteria catalyzes the formation of bacterial bile acid amidates (BBAAAs), significantly impacting host metabolism. While this activity was characterized as promiscuous, the underlying mechanism was not explored. This commentary proposes that BSH exhibits condition promiscuity, where typical hydrolytic enzymes catalyze synthetic reactions under specific conditions. Drawing parallels with micellar enzymology, we suggest that bile salts, acting as both substrates and micelle-forming agents, create an environment conducive for BSH to catalyze amidation. This represents a potential first in vivo demonstration of such a mechanism. Future investigations should explore BSH-catalyzed reactions with bile salts below critical micelle concentrations and alternative surfactants to validate this hypothesis.

Corresponding authors: Munishwar Nath Gupta, mn7gupta@gmail.com; Vladimir Uversky, vuversky@usf.edu

The recently published article by Rimal *et al.* (2024) identifies a bile salt hydrolase (BSH) from the bacteria present in the gastrointestinal tract as the catalyst for the formation of the bacterial bile acid amidates (BBAAAs).^[1] The authors also pointed out that these BBAAAs are physiologically important compounds as they affect the host metabolism.^[1] Hence, this conjugation reaction merits further attention. Although the authors rightly pointed out that this is a promiscuous activity of the hydrolase, they have not made any comments about how this promiscuous activity is enabled. We suggest here a possible mechanism for it.

Protein promiscuity is used in two different contexts.^[2] First are the protein-protein interactions enabled by intrinsic disorder, which are very common in regulatory proteins.^[3] Protein promiscuity shown by many enzymes, on the other hand, refers to their ability to catalyze a reaction other than the one indicated by their EC number. Hult and Burglund^[4] offered a useful classification for these latter kinds of promiscuities, which include substrate promiscuity, catalytic promiscuity, and condition promiscuity. The last refers to the reverse reactions catalyzed by hydrolases under low water conditions.^{[5][6]} The promiscuous activity observed by Rimal *et al.*^[1] is an example of condition promiscuity, as a hydrolase has catalyzed a conjugation; i.e., a synthetic reaction rather than hydrolysis. While catalytic promiscuous activity involves the active site residues participating differently from the normal reaction, the same active site residues are involved in condition promiscuity in an identical manner. This

is in line with “The N-acyltransferase activity of BSH closely mirrored the deconjugation activity with each mutation” of the active site residues.^[1]

Till recently, condition promiscuity was observed when the enzymatic reaction was carried out in a largely non-aqueous medium, such as nearly anhydrous organic solvents, ionic liquids, deep eutectic solvents, or reverse micelles.^[5] However, condition promiscuity has now been reported in quite a few biocatalytic reactions in the presence of micelles formed in an aqueous medium.^{[7][8][9]} Specifically, the most relevant example to the reported case of the promiscuous activity of the hydrolase is the esterification catalyzed by four common lipases in water in the presence of a surfactant.^[10] It has been stated that the size of micelles produced by the surfactant is crucial, with micelles in the range of 50–60 nm occupying the “sweet spot”.^[10]

Bile salts are well-known surfactants. In view of these examples of micellar enzymology, there is a strong possibility that the conjugation reaction observed by Rimal *et al.*^[1] is perhaps the first reaction reported *in vivo* that follows a similar mechanism. Here, bile salts have a dual role: they act as substrates, and they also form the micelles, which create the necessary environment for BSHs to catalyze the formation of the BBAAAs.

It may be interesting to carry out the BSH-catalyzed reaction in solutions containing bile salts below their critical micelle concentrations and also to use surfactants other than bile salts so that the micelles are formed by surfactants other than the substrate bile salts.

References

1. ^{a, b, c, d, e}Rimal B, Collins SL, Tanes CE, Rocha ER, Granda MA, Solanki S, Hoque N J, Gentry EC, Koo I, Reilly ER, Hao F, Paudel D, Singh V, Yan T, Kim MS, Bittinger K, Zackular JP, Krausz KW, Desai D, Amin S, Coleman JP, Shah YM, Bisanz JE, Gonzalez FJ, Vanden Heuvel JP, Wu GD, Zemel BS, Dorrestein PC, Weinert EE, Patterson A D (2024). “Bile salt hydrolase catalyses formation of amine-conjugated bile acid s.” *Nature*. **626**(8000):859–863. doi:[10.1038/s41586-023-06990-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06990-w).
2. ^ΔGupta MN, Alam A, Hasnain SE (2020). “Protein promiscuity in drug discovery, drug-repurposing and antibiotic resistance.” *Biochimie*. **175**:50–57. doi:[10.1016/j.biochi.2020.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2020.05.004).
3. ^ΔGupta MN, Uversky VN (2023). *Structure and intrinsic disorder in enzymology*. Elsevier. 241–277.
4. ^ΔHult K, Berglund P (2007). “Enzyme promiscuity: mechanism and applications.” *Trends Biotechnol*. **25**(5):231–238. doi:[10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.03.002).
5. ^{a, b}Gupta MN (1992). “Enzyme function in organic solvents.” *Eur J Biochem*. **203**(1–2):25–32. doi:[10.1111/j.1432-1033.1992.tb19823.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1992.tb19823.x).
6. ^ΔShah S, Gupta MN (2007). “Obtaining high transesterification activity for subtilisin in ionic liquids.” *Biochim Biophys Acta*. **1770**(1):94–98. doi:[10.1016/j.bbagen.2006.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2006.10.004).
7. ^ΔLipshutz BH (2018). “Synthetic chemistry in a water world. New rules ripe for discovery.” *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*. **11**:1–8.
8. ^ΔCortes-Clerget M, Akporji N, Zhou J, Gao F, Guo P, Parmentier M, Gallou F, Berthon JY, Lipshutz BH (2019). “Bridging the gap between transition metal- and biocatalysis via aqueous micellar catalysis.” *Nat Commun*. **10**(1):2169. doi:[10.1038/s41467-019-09751-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09751-4).

9. [^]Roy I, Gupta MN (2023). "White & grey biotechnologies for shaping a sustainable future." *RSC Sustainability*. 1:1722–1736.
10. [^][^]Singhania V, Cortes-Clerget M, Dussart-Gautheret J, Akkachairin B, Yu J, Akporji N, Gallou F, Lipshutz BH (2022). "Lipase-catalyzed esterification in water enabled by nanomicelles. Applications to 1-pot multi-step sequences." *Chem Sci*. 13(5):1440–1445. doi:[10.1039/d1sc05660c](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1sc05660c).

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.