

# Review of: "Corrections of Common Errors in Current Theories of Microwave Absorption Caused by Confusing Film and Material"

Khalid Saeed Lateef Al-badri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Samarra

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

While I believe this publication has potential, I recommend that the authors revise the manuscript thoroughly before publication. My suggestions are outlined below:

1. When it comes to structuring the body of an article, it's important to keep things organized and coherent. Start with a solid introduction that grabs the reader's attention. Follow it up with a logically arranged sequence of paragraphs that each cover a different aspect of the main topic. Use topic sentences to clearly indicate the main point of each paragraph. To maintain flow, use smooth transitions between paragraphs. Finally, conclude with a concise summary or final thoughts that tie everything together.
2. It is required to give strong comparative analysis because there are several similar topics available in the literature. it must need to include the comparative analysis. What are the advantages of this work compared to the reported works? Suggest the author to provide a table to better highlight the advantages of the work.
3. Highlight the strengths, particularly the novelty, of your paper. Elaborate on all results with clear explanations, as the underlying reasons for the mechanisms and results remain unclear.
4. The title is too general to summarize the work of this manuscript.
5. A more insightful commentary on the outcomes presented in the figures are needed.
6. The conclusion of the manuscript contains too much content. The conclusion of a manuscript is used to show the important results and innovation of the manuscript. The authors only present the results of the study in the conclusion . Such a conclusion is not conducive to the reader's understanding of the value and innovation of the manuscript. summarize content Example: Recent observations suggest that the ".....". Our findings provide conclusive evidence that this phenomenon is associated with "....." change, not due to elevated numbers of ".....".