

Review of: "Death needs, culture and emotional death proximity: Keys to intervene in social discrimination"

Gilbert Habaasa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for an interesting article on death and dying! I however note that the type of article is not known. This is because i donot see the usual structure of a manuscript [Abstract, Background, Methods, results, discussions, Conclusion and Recommendations]. Nonetheless, the methodology of the article is not clear. Forexample, it does not show the research design and methods of data collection, analysis techniques etc..

Did you use some form of systematic review of published articles eg between 1960-2021? How did you go through the coding, and the data analyses? Where are the results of your study? Or even explicit policy recommendations for your study?

Other concerns:

Planning for how we live and provide care at the end of life is a key public health concern. You could also consider integrating the valence and arousal/circumplex model into your article. How does it compare and contract with the terror management theory and the meaning management theory?

How do men and women respond to death and dying? What about the issue of health care workers facing difficulties in discussing about deaths with their patients? Lastly, you may wish to bring about the possible effects of religiosity, death proximity and social discrimination!