

# Review of: "Responsible Geosciences, or Geoscience Literacy for Urbanites"

Kaveh Ostad-Ali-Askari<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> American University in Dubai

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The title is written in very poor English. What does "Responsible" mean? So, it is not attractive.

The abstract is not clear and self-standing. It should be structured in 4 paragraphs, namely, aims, methods, results, conclusion. The title and the abstract is what invited reviewers look at first. Based on this first impression they undertake or not the review. So, it is imperative to have good and attractive title and abstract.

The discussion and comparison with relevant international studies are limited.

The literature review is too general and thus can't indicate any novelty of the current study. It is better that explain more about the novelty of manuscript in introduction section. The manuscript has not quite innovative. Please explain about its novelty.