

# Review of: "Teaching Method Preference by College Teachers in India"

Reza Kafipour<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Shiraz University of Medical Sciences

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The abstract is enough informative enough. The author should include information about the selection criteria and sampling procedure followed. Moreover, practical implications should be briefly explained.
2. Keywords should be minimum five while they are three
3. Introduction section should clearly elaborate on problem which motivated the author to conduct such a study, significance of the study and some background information about education system in india.
4. In participant section, the author did not specify selection criteria and sampling procedure followed.
5. Reliability and validity of the instruments were not discussed. Moreover, the author did not specify whether the survey has been adopted from another source or it has been self-developed.
6. There was no section to discuss the data analysis procedure
7. The result section only enjoyed descriptive statistics which puts the findings under question. The author is recommended to use inferential statistics too.
8. Discussion section is mostly a summary of findings while it should include critical thinking to compare and contrast the obtained findings with the results of the relevant/similar studies done by other authors and researchers. Moreover, the author should elaborate on potential reasons for the similarities and differences found between his/her own results and results obtained in other studies.