v1: 9 January 2025

Review Article

When the Mind Falters: Managing CEO Cognitive Decline in Leadership

Peer-approved: 9 January 2025

Qeios, Vol. 7 (2025)
ISSN: 2632-3834

Robert Karaszewski[1]

1. MBA Program, American University in the Emirates, United Arab Emirates

Cognitive decline in CEOs presents a complex challenge, affecting strategic decision-making, organizational culture, and overall corporate performance. This study explores the multifaceted implications of age-related cognitive impairment in executive leaders, drawing insights from management, psychology, and gerontology. Through a structured literature review, the study examines the organizational and ethical challenges of addressing cognitive decline, including its impact on employee morale, shareholder confidence, and corporate governance. Practical strategies for early detection, intervention, and succession planning are discussed, with an emphasis on ethical leadership transitions, maintaining organizational resilience, and protecting stakeholder interests. The findings highlight the critical importance of proactive measures, such as executive health assessments, structured succession frameworks, and board-level training, to mitigate risks and uphold leadership effectiveness in the face of cognitive challenges.

Corresponding author: Slawomir A. Wisniewski, sa.wisniewski@sci4biz.edu.pl

Introduction

According to the corporate governance framework, the CEO is the lynchpin point around which all other elements of governance are designed. As the chief executive officer of any company, this person determines the overall strategy of the organization, and at the same time, he acts as the organization's figurehead, culture bearer, and value carrier. This role has a wider scope and is not limited to decision-making in the governance of the organization but also includes the determination of the image of the organization and how its internal systems are positioned in practice towards its stated goals. Hence, any disruption, which in this case is the CEO's functioning in the operational management of the company, has ramifications not only on the stability of the company but also on its growth path and health as an organization.

The importance of this position in the leadership pyramid is even more pronounced when an already existing leader of the organization begins to show some impairment in his cognitive abilities. Aging, which often comes with neurological diseases or psychiatry, causes difficulties and challenges that transcend the single individual. Cognitive decline can diminish the chief executive officer's capability to develop a strategy, make a decision in a timely manner, and garner the trust of stakeholders, all of which can cause a chain effect leading to instability of the top management team and affecting the road efficacy overall. These questions highlight the need for an urgent resolution on how the affected individuals' dignity and contribution are preserved within and around organizations that can assist them in mitigating risks.

This paper investigates the intricacies of such circumstances and analyzes the various ramifications that result from the deterioration of a CEO's cognition. It takes into account the ethical, tactical, operational, and strategic issues, and in management scenarios, its complexity is clearly defined and framed. The paper intends to provide practically applicable actions, from timely forecasting of such deterioration to planning and strategic succession mechanisms, that would help preserve the strength and continuity of organizations.

In doing so, this study goes further to enrich the discussion on corporate and leadership resilience. It is a call to consider the basic nature of human beings in the processes of managing organizations; certainly a difficult imperative, but necessary in order to protect individuals' well-being and the interests of other stakeholders. It is able to integrate concepts from management, gerontology, and psychology so that the analysis assists in understanding how organizations ought to operate in view of the disruption of leadership as a result of cognitive decline.

Literature Review

With the passage of time, a business executive's ability or inactivity becomes an outright concern: What happens when cognitive abilities start to decline? A few years ago, the average age of a CEO was around 62, and even though they lead in their mid-60s and 70s, the effectiveness of older leaders in a corporate organization who suffer from age-related cognitive changes is no longer questioned.[1][2]

Ageing takes along with it wisdom and experiences coupled with the knowledge of an individual. But along with age, there come physiological changes that would lead to cognitive impairments such as memory, processing speed, and even problem-solving capabilities[3][4]. According to research in the field of geriatric medicine, it is emphasized that even older adults without clinical disease undergo age-related changes that may result in subtle cognitive impairment of such areas which are considered to be integral for a CEO[5][6].

Cognitive Capabilities and Executive Performance

Planning, especially at the top management layer, requires cognitive resources. Firstly, strategic direction or market foresight needs long-term visions to be crafted along with making quick market assessments[7]. To be able to decide clearly, it is necessary that risks and benefits are efficiently weighed to enable decision-making. Moreover, with respect to innovation, they are required in order to maintain competitiveness through out-of-the-box thinking and a willingness to explore possibilities[8].

If there are slight changes or impairments in cognitive functioning, then these leadership characteristics may be at risk. One executive may find it hard to learn new information and onboard quickly, may take too long to make a decision, or may be opposed to new ideas[9][10][11][12]. Let us now shift to the implications for the organization. The decline in the cognitive characteristics of the CEO's ability can affect and be felt across an institution. It makes such strategic errors emanating from one decision-taking which is rather too slow or too poor, which in its time leads to wasted potential and investment or offsets that are not beneficial to the company[13]. Employees may lose their morale as they lose trust in their leaders, which leads to low levels of motivation as well as layers of productivity[14]. The assurance of shareholders may be shaken, with investors' confidence in the strategic direction of the firm and its operational ability being brought into doubt, which leads to adverse movements in the stock price and even discouragement of further investment. At the same time, the absence of rapid flux in operations may result in a situation where the firm does lag in its competition with the more flexible organizations[15][16].

Issues in Dealing with the Problem of Cognitive Decline in CEOs

There are many reasons why addressing cognitive decline in CEOs is a challenge. Executives may be in denial with regard to any cognitive impairment as it may make them look bad or low status; this kind of denial could also cause a delay in needed treatment prev[17][18][11]. The ethical questions here would be satisfying the privacy needs of the person involved and the organization, which requires an appropriate level of leadership[19]. There are also legal issues, such as age discrimination laws and reasonable accommodation for disability, which also make any action taken to be complicated[20].

Preventing and Managing Cognitive Decline

Set practices such as the following in place for the executive to focus on reducing the threats. It has been claimed that periodic general health check-ups, which include a strong element of focus on cognitive health for managers, make provision for early identification and intervention[21]. By formulating effective succession plans, disruption is avoided in times of change in management[22]. Creating the proper climate to make it possible for the CEO to be questioned by the

 doi.org/10.32388/4O08OY.2

board and sensitivity to the need to address concerns contains the culture[23]. The training of directors on identifying the signs of cognitive deterioration and the appropriate procedures for best managing the family necessitates the increase of their resources[24]. Where appropriate, shifting the burden of tasks from the executive's current level of function to the area of remaining competencies enhances and supports impairments[3]. Managers can understand the executive's cognitive health, and hence take cognizance of other resources such as coaching, counselling, and/or medical support[25][26].

An Ethical and Compassionate Way to This Dilemma

The issue of cognitive decline should be handled with delicacy. The measures undertaken ought to acknowledge the executive's inputs and address the executive with due regard[27]. Discretionary action gives protection to the individual's details while ensuring that the requisite individuals are kept in the loop[28]. Where there is a transition, the processes and the factors constituting the transition have to be well stated in order to prevent cases of misunderstanding and injustice[29][30].

Some specific cases may be sensitive to the audiences, yet, from hindsight, many authors have studied the industries wherein older executives with more or less disrupted cognitive functions performed poorly in terms of innovative activity and adjustment[31][32][33]. These types of situations bring out the necessity of a graceful and timely intervention.

In business contexts, the cognitive dysfunctions of CEOs are sad events, as they blur the lines between success in the organization and the intricate nature of humanity. As potential consequences are seen and useful measures are addressed, this issue need not be a source of worry for the companies. Such preventative actions not only protect the sustainability of the organization but also represent its leaders in an appropriate light, so that when the head fails, the heart of the organization will remain intact.

Methodology

Due to the ultra-delicate nature of the topic—managing cognitive decline in CEOs—the research approach was carefully designed to respect the sensitivity surrounding this issue. There are overwhelming ethical, legal, and privacy issues in directly investigating individual cases of executive cognitive decline. For this reason, the author has only performed a structured literature review.

This literature review goes further than usual management science literature reviews. As the author identified the problem of cognitive decline as being relevant in more than one field, he did not restrict himself to managerial sources only but included psychology, psychiatry, and geriatrics sources in the analysis as well. This strategy facilitates the examination of cognitive decline from two perspectives: the impact at the organizational level and the impact on human physiology and psychology.

Selection of Literature

The selection of literature followed a number of principles:

Relevance: The materials selected addressed the challenges of cognitive decline in a leader and organization in the context.

Credibility: The author selected mainly peer-reviewed journals, reputable books, and official institutional reports.

Interdisciplinary Perspectives: The review integrates studies and perspectives from psychology, psychiatry, and geriatrics to provide a range of perspectives on cognitive function, aging, and even mental health.

Keywords Used

Specific terms were searched in the databases to find relevant research papers. The list of terms included:

"Cognitive Decline"; "Cognitive Impairment"; "Cognitive Aging"; "Cognitive Underpinnings"; "Cognitive Deterioration"; "Cognitive Dysfunction"; "Neurocognitive Decline"; "Neurodegenerative Diseases"; "Mental Decline"; "Neurological Aging".

These terms were searched in combination with the terms presented below, such as: "CEO", "leader", "leadership", "general manager", "executive director".

Variations in keyword formulation were used in the search of academic databases such as PubMed, JSTOR, and PsycINFO. The systematic use of these terms clearly helped the author collect the literature in a way that indeed cuts across the required fields as well as the broad nature of the topic under discussion.

Research Process

The research process was composed of the following stages:

doi.org/10.32388/4OO8OY.2

Preliminary Stages: A general search for the primary relevant works with the guidance of the keywords to determine how much literature exists in the subject area.

Narrowing Down: This focused on searching through the specific databases and catalogues for the selected publications within a certain period and from reputable publications.

Key Article Search: This targeted search for similar relevant studies in the reference sections of major articles. Based on the specified criteria, 117 scientific publications were selected, and their thorough and cross-sectional analysis enabled the development of a set of guidelines.

Thematic organization: Recognizing information gaps and categorizing the body of literature into organizational implications, ethical concerns, management perspectives, and so on.

Ethical Considerations

Employing the option of conducting a literature review, this study minimizes the risks associated with conducting primary research that seeks to handle the sensitive issues of the health information of the executives. It upholds the dignity of the persons while at the same time availing the organizations of helpful information about managing and supporting those in leadership positions regardless of their cognitive health decline.

This methodology allows the author to integrate the previously available information, reveal the trends, and discover the areas not covered by the current research and suggest solutions for them for the organizations. It achieves an equilibrium between the need for circumspection and the necessity to tackle an important problem that cuts across leadership and corporate governance. The study, however, goes further to explain the keywords determining the approach to research, outlining the objectives, thus providing a standard for future research in what may be an empirical end in this field.

Results and Discussion

A company can only achieve market success if it possesses dynamic leadership. Innovative ideas, human resources, and the skill to adapt to new eras and their demands can all be brought to fruition by a good CEO. A company that actively performs all of its necessary duties and has the appropriate people in charge does not, however, face any particular difficulties. Cynical intelligence that perceives reality differently and begins to manipulate becomes this seduction. Even though there are a number of neurodegenerative disorders that are commonly referred to as dementia, such as Alzheimer's, the core issue is a persistent cognitive decline which impairs and hinders pre-existing abilities[34][35][36].

The CEO's unawareness of his or her deteriorating state makes the situation even more imperative. There is the common phenomenon of denial or lack of insight into the self in the context of the person's cognitive deterioration. The CEO might blame the breakdowns of the organization on his or her subordinates, and this makes the workplace unhealthy. The top executives, recognizing the crisis, must have a very difficult balance – that of remaining loyal to the CEO, ensuring compliance with legal and ethical norms, and pursuing the company's best interests[37].

The Unnoticed First Symptoms of Cognitive Impairment in Leadership

Cognitive impairment in a CEO does not occur suddenly. It is a gradual process accompanied by the person's competency and authority who actually possesses those symptoms[35][11]. Early symptoms can begin with forgetfulness, lack of consistency, poor judgment abilities, and fluctuation of mood. As the disease starts to develop, more severity in these symptoms can be observed with the progressing stage, affecting the workload of the fundamental responsibilities of the CEO[38].

The CEO is also not aware of the existence of the anosognosia problem in such areas, which makes things worse. Because of their ignorance about their failures, such individuals are often defensive, avoid critiques of their behavior, and become completely isolated. This can result in poor strategy formulation, poor resource management, and alienation of key parties.

Effect on the Organizational Structure

The CEO's cognitive dysfunction has an echo effect in the organization, affecting various aspects such as:

Absenteeism of Crucial Employees

Any organization's workforce is made up of workers who help drive the firm forward. When leadership is substandard, employees feel uneasy and frustrated. Those professional people are most likely to feel underappreciated, overburdened with inappropriate responsibilities, or unfairly accused as a cover for the company's main difficulties. This can create a vicious problem of retention, as valuable employees look to work elsewhere. The exit of such employees is not only a loss of a resource but also gives a bad impression to the market, existing employees, and other channel partners.

Apathetic Shift of Consumer Base

The consumers, along with their clients, are always on the lookout for the satisfaction of their needs and the persistent nature of the organization's functions. A decrease in the leader's vision is mirrored by a fall in the willingness of the organization to respond to the various requirements of the clients. Such disorganization may result in late delivery of goods and services, poor quality of goods and services production, and poor strategic alignment. Such clients may shift away as they may not have faith in the company and look for other sources to meet their needs, leading to a drop in revenue and market share.

Deterioration of the Structure of Organizational Culture

The head of the organization, the CEO, has a close link with its culture. However, with the passage of time, cognitive compromise takes place, leading to confusion, inconsistency, lack of rational behavior, and miscommunication. This is detrimental to the norms and values of the organization's culture, which fosters trust and interdependence among staff. Such circumstances can trigger the emergence of a toxic workplace with a management style based on intimidation and panic and a low employee morale level.

Economical and Strategic Unrealistic Expectations

The ability to strategically develop the organization starts from the way the vision of the CEO and their decision-making is clear. A CEO that suffers from some degree of cognitive dysfunction is likely to pursue pointless investments and be inattentive to business parameters or the competition. This has negative effects on financial results and runs the risk of incurring losses, lowering shareholder wealth value, and the risk of acquisition.

The Problematic Situation of Top Management

As it turns out, the top executives are in a situation where their duties are literally at cross purposes with their fears:

Business Risks

There is more than one prominent business risk when it comes to confronting the CEO. This includes the power of the CEO, who has the ultimate authority and might remove or demote anyone that appears to be insubordinate, which is discouraged in this environment. Such an environment of apprehension leads to the prevention of frank and constructive participation.

Corporate Governance

Senior managers owe a duty to the company and all its pertinent stakeholders. Letting things get worse beyond what is agreeable is a breach of this duty. This valid duty extends to the health of the CEO also, as he might need medical assistance and support.

Legal Considerations

Health-related engagements with an individual are considered extreme, and therefore precise legal manoeuvring needs to be done here. Legal measures and procedures regarding privacy, discrimination, and wrongful dismissal must be applied here to avoid any other legal problems.

Callousness of the Owners and Investors About the Setback

There is a good chance that the company's owners and investors have a particular degree of ignorance regarding the tectonic shift that has taken place under the (mis)management of the CEO, especially if these fellows are not actively involved. You cannot tell them such things without unequivocal proof or a gambit because the result could be panic, erosion of confidence, and irrational decisions, exacerbating the troubles facing the company.

Conclusion

Given the complexities regarding the situation where a CEO suffers from dementia, it is necessary for higher-level managers to think in a more integrative manner that focuses on corporate viability, legality, and entrepreneurship. Such challenges are even more acute because the decline in cognition of the CEO does not

doi.org/10.32388/4O08OY.2

only spare the decision-making process but also affects the entire organization, its employees, stakeholders, and the strategic vision of the firm.

As a major component of the process, a critical step entails the efforts made in planning ahead in order to ensure the detection of cognitive decline at the earliest stages. Organizations should employ the services of experienced executives for health checks that have components on cognitive health. Such evaluations are effective not only from a preventive perspective but can also function as an early warning mechanism within the organization, addressing risks before they get out of control. Of these, regular health check-ups should come alongside wellness programs, the emphasis of which should be placed on both the physical and mental fitness of the CEOs.

The second issue regards succession planning as one of the areas that is mostly managed with the challenge. Every organization needs to come up with a smart and implementable succession plan, as it needs to be forecasted how to maintain leadership in case of a crisis. The succession planning process should always be carried out and not treated as an ad hoc exercise because it has relevance to the overall strategy of the company. Furthermore, engaging these prospective leaders in essential decision-making processes assures that they are well-prepared for leadership by the time it is required.

The third consideration, which is equally as important, is education and awareness. It is useful for members of the board, as well as senior executives, to undergo training on how to detect early warning signs of cognitive decline such as erratic behavior while making decisions or reluctance to embrace new challenges. Legal and ethical issues should be a part of this training as well, which will enable management teams to deal with these issues in an appropriate manner.

In a practical sense of dealing with cognitive imperatives, the load should be shared within the team at the executive level. This change will then enable the CEO to dwell on the activities that are his strong areas while subordinates who are well-placed for critical activities will undertake them. This redistribution must be carefully managed so that the CEO's status and authority are not compromised in the process.

The CEO's reputation and privacy should be protected at all costs. This does not prevent the reporting of certain events; for example, the company is in control of whom and when to report to. However, such communications should be conducted in an effective manner. Overcommunication is not advisable and should be recommended for implementation only in special cases. Management in sectors should be limited to those directly involved in the stakeholder activities and only essential elements necessary for maintaining the trust relationship.

Even if people want to know what is happening, focusing on transparency is not the mandate, as normally idealistic people are not affected by management changes and would resculpture their perception of the organization. It would be more essential to learn about the stakeholders' perceptions and adjust strategies accordingly, as well as incorporate adequate communication protocols. It needs to be stated that this is true where communication decay does not overgrow; however, when this occurs, it softens, which is counterproductive.

In relation to the above, managerial representatives for communicating with stakeholders should be assigned without areas of expertise to avoid duplication of work. Directors can also move cross-sector, making the organization and running the project very effective while tapping into a wide pool of networks. Their presence will not only improve marketing but also ensure that boundaries are thoroughly covered.

Organizations are not simply tackling an employee's health issue by addressing a CEO's cognitive decline. They are attempting to protect the present and future welfare of the organization. Strategically working with visionary provision, sensitivity, and planning, superior managers can help navigate their organizations across such tides and still have the CEO's name and the organization's prospects intact.

References

1. ^*Are Most CEOs Too Old to Innovate? (2023). https://hbr.org/2014/11/are-most-ceos-too-old-to-innovate*
2. ^*Remick T, Proctor B, McCabe J (2017). "Age and tenure in the C-Suite". https://www.kornferry.com/about-us/press/age-and-tenure-in-the-c-suite-korn-ferry-institute-study-reveals-trends-by-title-and-industry*
3. a, b*Glisky EL (2007). "Changes in Cognitive Function in Human Aging". Brain Aging. 3. doi:10.1201/9781420005523-1.*
4. ^*Deary IJ, Corley J, Gow AJ, Harris S, Houlihan LM, Marioni RE, Penke L, Rafnsson SB, Starr JM (2009). "Age-associated cognitive decline". British Medical Bulletin. 92 (1): 135. doi:10.1093/bmb/ldp033.*
5. ^*Derousené C (2002). "Cognitive changes in elderly people: the boundary between physiological aging and d*

ementia". Reviews in Clinical Gerontology. 12 (3): 233. doi:10.1017/s0959259802012364.

6. ^Gilles C (2003). "Age-related mild cognitive deficit: a ready-to-use concept?". Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience. 5 (1): 61. doi:10.31887/dcns.2003.5.1/cgilles.
7. ^Ireland RD, Hitt MA (2005). "Achieving and maintaining strategic competitiveness in the 21st century: The role of strategic leadership". Academy of Management Perspectives. 19 (4): 63. doi:10.5465/ame.2005.19417908.
8. ^Simonton DK (1997). "Creative productivity: A predictive and explanatory model of career trajectories and landmarks." Psychological Review. 104 (1): 66–89. doi:10.1037/0033-295x.104.1.66.
9. ^Chan T, Wang I, Ybarra O (2018). "Leading and Managing the Workplace: The Role of Executive Functions". Academy of Management Perspectives. 35 (1): 142. doi:10.5465/amp.2017.0215.
10. ^Gordon V, Martin DR (2018). "The 21st-Century CEO: Intrinsic Attributes, Worldview, and Communication Capabilities". Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies. 26 (2): 141. doi:10.1177/1548051818793338.
11. a, b, cFisher M, Franklin D, Post JM (2014). "Executive dysfunction, brain aging, and political leadership". Politics and the Life Sciences. 33 (2): 93. doi:10.2990/33_2_93.
12. ^Waldman DA, Ramírez G, House RJ, Puranam P (2001). "DOES LEADERSHIP MATTER? CEO LEADERSHIP ATTRIBUTES AND PROFITABILITY UNDER CONDITIONS OF PERCEIVED ENVIRONMENTAL UNCERTAINTY." Academy of Management Journal. 44 (1): 134-143. doi:10.2307/3069341.
13. ^Trifu A (2017). "The CEO's Managerial Skills, Ups and Downs of a Firm and Crisis." Management and Organizational Studies. 4 (4): 23. doi:10.5430/mos.v4n4p23.
14. ^Tebogo B (2011). "Employee Benefits versus Shareholder Wealth Maximisation." SSRN Electronic Journal. doi:10.2139/ssrn.1803669.
15. ^Awa HO, Kalu SE (2010). "Repositioning the Non-incremental Changes and Business Strategic Windows Correlates". International Journal of Business and Management. 5 (2): 184. doi:10.5539/ijbm.v5n2p184.
16. ^Garnsey E, Heffernan P (2005). "Growth setbacks in new firms". Futures. 37 (7): 675. doi:10.1016/j.futures.2004.11.011.
17. ^Cognitive Impairment: A Call for Action, Now! (2011). https://www.cdc.gov/aging/pdf/cognitive_impairment/cogimp_poilicy_final.pdf
18. ^Lahaie D (2005). "The impact of corporate memory loss". Leadership in Health Services. 18 (3): 35. doi:10.1108/13660750510611198.
19. ^Jones R (2001). "Ethical and legal issues in the care of people with dementia". Reviews in Clinical Gerontology. 11 (3): 245. doi:10.1017/s0959259801011364.
20. ^AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990, AS AMENDED. (1990).
21. ^Burton WN, Chen C, Conti D, Schultz AB, Edington DW (2002). "The Value of the Periodic Executive Health Examination: Experience at Bank One and Summary of the Literature". Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 44 (8): 737. doi:10.1097/00043764-200208000-00008.
22. ^Giambatista RC, Rowe WG, Riaz S (2005). "Nothing succeeds like succession: A critical review of leader succession literature since 1994". The Leadership Quarterly. 16 (6): 963. doi:10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.09.005.
23. ^Biggs EL (2004). "CEO succession planning: An emerging challenge for boards of directors". Academy of Management Perspectives. 18 (1): 105. doi:10.5465/ame.2004.12689538.
24. ^Fabisewski KJ, Howell MC (1986). "A Model for Family Meetings in the Long Term Care of Alzheimer's Disease". Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 9 (2): 113. doi:10.1300/j083v09n02_11.
25. ^Elliott R (2003). "Executive functions and their disorders". British Medical Bulletin. 65 (1): 49. doi:10.1093/bmb/65.1.49.
26. ^Schillerstrom JE, Horton MS, Royall DR (2005). "The Impact of Medical Illness on Executive Function". Psychosomatics. 46 (6): 508. doi:10.1176/appi.psy.46.6.508.
27. ^Daly L, Fahey-McCarthy E (2014). "Re-examining the basis for ethical dementia care practice". British Journal of Nursing. 23 (2): 81. doi:10.12968/bjon.2014.23.2.81.
28. ^Kim SYH, Karlawish J, Caine ED (2002). "Current State of Research on Decision-Making Competence of Cognitively Impaired Elderly Persons". The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 10 (2): 151. doi:10.1097/00019442-200203000-00006.
29. ^Cox C, Makin P (1994). "Overcoming Dependence with Contingency Contracting". Leadership & Organization Development Journal. 15 (5): 21. doi:10.1108/01437739410059953.
30. ^Canham-Chervak M, Hooper TI, Brennan FH, Craig SC, Girasek DC, Schaefer RA, Barbour GL, Yew K, Jones BH (2009). "A Systematic Process to Prioritize Prevention Activities". American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 38 (1): S11-S18. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2009.10.003.
31. ^Xu Q, Fernando GD, Tam K (2018). "Executive age and the readability of financial reports." Advances in Accounting. 43: 70-81. doi:10.1016/j.adiac.2018.09.004.
32. ^Denburg NL, Hedgcock W (2015). "Age-Associated Executive Dysfunction, the Prefrontal Cortex, and Compl

ex Decision Making". Aging and Decision Making. 79. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-417148-0.00005-4.

33. ^*Buczyłowska D, Petermann F (2016). "Age-Related Differences and Heterogeneity in Executive Functions: Analysis of NAB Executive Functions Module Scores". Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 31 (3): 254. doi:10.1093/arclin/acw005.*
34. ^*Hugo J, Ganguli M (2014). "Dementia and Cognitive Impairment". Clinics in Geriatric Medicine. 30 (3): 421. doi:10.1016/j.cger.2014.04.001.*
35. a, b*Tarawneh R, Holtzman DM (2012). "The Clinical Problem of Symptomatic Alzheimer Disease and Mild Cognitive Impairment." Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine. 2 (5): a006148-a006148. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a006148.*
36. ^*Beck JC, Benson DF, Scheibel AB, Spar JE, Rubenstein LZ (1982). "Dementia in the Elderly: The Silent Epidemic". Annals of Internal Medicine. 97 (2): 231. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-97-2-231.*
37. ^*Summers JK, Munyon TP, Perryman AA, Ferris GR (2010). "Dysfunctional executive behavior: What can organizations do?" Business Horizons. 53 (6): 581-590. doi:10.1016/j.bushor.2010.06.005.*
38. ^*Storandt M (2008). "Cognitive Deficits in the Early Stages of Alzheimer's Disease." Current Directions in Psychological Science. 17 (3): 198-202. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8721.2008.00574.x.*

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.