Short Communication

Noise Reduction in Infodemic Management: Lessons from the January 2026 Nipah Virus Event in India

Ashish Trivedi[1], **Aadhya Trivedi**[2]

1. Occupational Health, Ministry of Health, Brunei Darussalam; 2. Laksamana College of Business, Brunei Darussalam

The rapid spread of misinformation during infectious disease events, termed an infodemic, poses significant challenges to public health response. In January 2026, two confirmed cases of Nipah virus disease in West Bengal, India, triggered disproportionate regional and international reactions, including travel screening measures and widespread public anxiety. Despite the absence of secondary transmission (196 contacts tested negative), misinformation across social media and news platforms amplified perceived risk. This short communication analyses the discrepancy between epidemiological reality and information amplification and proposes a structured "noise reduction" framework for epidemic intelligence. Key gaps identified include 14-days delays in official communication, limited engagement with high-velocity social media platforms, and insufficient contextualization of risk. Strengthening infodemic management through rapid communication, multi-platform communication, and real-time information surveillance is essential for effective outbreak control in the digital era.

Correspondence: papers@team.qeios.com — Qeios will forward to the authors

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines an infodemic as an overabundance of information, including false or misleading content during a disease outbreaks, that complicates public health response and pose a structural threat to global health security [1]. Infodemics can undermine trust, distort risk perception, and lead to inappropriate public health actions [2][3].

In January 2026, India reported two laboratory-confirmed cases of Nipah virus disease in Barasat, West Bengal. Although the outbreak was rapidly contained with no secondary transmission, the event generated widespread misinformation and disproportionate international responses [4][5]. This case highlights how information amplification (noise) can diverge from epidemiological reality (signal) and how structured “noise reduction” strategies can support outbreak communication. This analysis is based on publicly available epidemiological reports, WHO communications, and media narratives.

Epidemiological Reality (Signal) vs Information Amplification (Noise)

Epidemiological Summary

On January 13, 2026, two healthcare workers in Barasat, West Bengal, were laboratory-confirmed positive for Nipah virus. Rigorous contact tracing of 196 individuals yielded zero additional positive cases. The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MOHFW), declared the outbreak contained by 27 January 2026, demonstrating high containment efficacy [4][5]. Thus, the epidemiological “signal” was characterized by limited transmission and effective containment.

Infodemic Characteristics

In contrast, the misinformation "noise" was voluminous and global. Key noise indicators included:

- **False reports of lockdowns:** Viral social media posts claimed emergency lockdowns were in effect, frequently accompanied by AI-generated imagery to increase perceived authenticity.
- **Inflated case data:** Unverified claims suggested nationwide spread and significantly higher case counts than the laboratory-confirmed total of two.
- **Contextless comparisons:** Narratives emphasized Nipah's high case fatality rate (40-75%) without the context of its low human-to-human transmission potential.

Such patterns are consistent with previously described infodemic dynamics, where uncertainty and severity cues amplify information spread irrespective of actual transmission risk [2][6].

Consequences of Information Amplification

The resulting amplification cascade led to several tangible disruptions:

- Implementation of airport screening measures in multiple countries
- Issuance of travel advisories
- Heightened public anxiety and distorted risk perception.

These responses occurred despite WHO assessment of low regional and global risk and and explicit recommendations against travel restrictions. [5] This illustrates how infodemics can drive policy decisions beyond the scope of epidemiological evidence. [3]

Gaps in Infodemic Management

Several critical gaps facilitated this crisis.

1. **Timing gap:** A delay of approximately 14 days between the initial case reports (January 13) and the official MOHFW clarifying statement (January 27) allowed speculative narratives to dominate early information cycles.
2. **Platform gap:** Official communication channels did not match the speed and reach of social media ecosystems, a well-recognized challenge in infodemic control [2].
3. **Contextualization gap:** While official data were accurate, they lacked in contextual interpretation necessary for the public to differentiate this localized event from a pandemic-level threat, a critical component of effective risk communication [3][7].

The Noise Reduction Framework for Epidemic Intelligence

To address these vulnerabilities, epidemic intelligence units requires systematic integration of noise reduction principles into epidemic intelligence systems.

Source Credibility Weighting: Prioritizing authoritative sources through a tiered hierarchy (e.g., Tier 1: WHO/National Authorities; Tier 2: Academic Institutions) enables prioritization of high-quality information and filtering of unverified content "noise".

Temporal Pattern Analysis: The delay between case confirmation and official clarification allowed misinformation to proliferate. Early-stage communication within a 24-48 hour window is critical. Previous studies have also shown that early communication is critical in shaping public risk perception and limiting misinformation spread [7].

Geographic Specificity Verification: Misinformation frequently relied on vague geographic descriptors (e.g., "spreading across India"), whereas verified reports were highly localized (contained to a single

hospital in Barasat, West Bengal, India). Geographic precision is a key element of effective risk communication [3].

Operational Recommendations

- **Rapid communication protocols:** Release verified, contextualized information within 24–48 hours
- **Integrated information surveillance:** Monitor digital platforms for misinformation trends
- **Multi-platform engagement:** Communicate through platforms where misinformation circulates
- **Contextual risk communication:** Include absolute risk, transmission dynamics, and comparative context.

Conclusion

The January 2026 Nipah virus event highlights that the modern outbreak management requires the simultaneous control of two parallel threats: the pathogen and the information narrative. While epidemiological containment was effective, the associated infodemic led to disproportionate behavioural and policy responses.

Integrating infodemic management into epidemic intelligence systems, through rapid communication, digital surveillance, and contextualized messaging, is essential to ensure proportionate, evidence-based public health responses. Future preparedness should include dedicated infodemic management capacity capable of real-time monitoring and coordinated multi-platform communication.

References

1. ^*World Health Organization (2020). "Infodemic Management: A Key Component of the COVID-19 Global Response." World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/infodemic-management-covid-19.*
2. a, b, c*Zarocostas J (2020). "How to Fight an Infodemic." Lancet. **395**(10225):676.*
3. a, b, c, d*World Health Organization (2020). "Risk Communication and Community Engagement (RCCE) Readiness and Response to Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): Interim Guidance." World Health Organization.*
4. a, b*Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India (2026). "Only Two Nipah Virus Disease Cases Reported in West Bengal Since Last December: NCDC." Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2219219.*

5. ^a, ^b, ^c*World Health Organization (2026). "Disease Outbreak News: Nipah Virus Disease – India (DON593)." World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2026-DON593.*

6. ^*Cinelli M, Quattrociocchi W, Galeazzi A, et al. (2020). "The COVID-19 Social Media Infodemic." Sci Rep. **10**:1 6598.*

7. ^a, ^b*Reynolds B, Seeger MW (2005). "Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication as an Integrative Model." J Health Commun. **10**(1):43–55.*

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.