

Peer Review

Review of: "Commentary on Articles CC-2000, CC-3000 and CC-4000"

Baharak Aghapour¹

1. nutrition, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran, Islamic Republic of

Dear authors,

I evaluate the manuscript entitled 'Nutritional Status of Women in Cameroon: Status Report Among Women in the City of Yaound'.

A thorough proofreading for grammar, coherence, and technical accuracy is also recommended before final submission.

*Please carefully review the **paragraph** structure of the manuscript. Some paragraphs contain multiple ideas, which affects readability. Consider breaking lengthy paragraphs into shorter, more focused ones—each addressing a single main point. This will improve clarity and flow.*

Abstract

The **abstract** does not reflect an appropriate brief summary of all the subheads of the manuscript.

Why was there a need to do this research?

*Please note that the manuscript currently does not include a list of keywords. **Keywords** are essential for the proper indexing and discoverability of your article in academic databases. I recommend adding relevant keywords*

Introduction

The Introduction section appears to be quite brief. A more thorough literature review and a well-justified research rationale will strengthen the manuscript's academic rigor.

The study rationale is not adequately described.

Methodology

The study relies solely on anthropometric measurements (BMI and waist circumference) to assess nutritional status. While these are valuable indicators, they do not provide direct information about dietary intake patterns. To strengthen the nutritional assessment, I recommend considering:

1. Inclusion of a validated Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) or at least a 24-hour dietary recall, which are standard tools in nutritional epidemiology
2. Explanation for why more direct dietary assessment methods were not employed
3. Discussion of how this methodological limitation might affect the interpretation of nutritional status findings

The manuscript currently **cites only eight references**, which appears insufficient to adequately cover the existing literature on this topic.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.