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I was asked to review this manuscript, and after having read it, I’ve got strongly impressed, in the worst way an academic could imagine! I will try to be as polite as possible, but, sorry, this text is below any consideration. Recently, some high-qualified researchers wrote manuscripts full of catchphrases from the woke agenda - and succeeded in publishing them, showing that, as everybody with open eyes can notice, humanities in general have been a trampoline for hate speech undercover by ‘social science’ or ‘bioethical reflection’. I guess this is an awful example of this, because I could never imagine such condensed hate speech trying to present itself in any showable way. Briefly, the authors brings about the question of justice in assisted reproduction, indeed a hot topic in Bioethics. Then, the author catches attention with a prefabricated declaration of ‘vulnerability of LGBTQ’. Frankly, such acronym mixes very different things - bisexual people definitely are not the same as the hypermacho gay men and even less the effeminate gay men who eventually try to become a woman even less lesbian, to whom a simple homemade insemination is biologically available. Anyway, a little bit further, the author states as a fundamental thesis of the manuscript that ‘patriarchy is evil’. I can not really read this without considering this as a simple high school pamphleteer hate speech. Patriarchy has been a millenary social way of organising family, with good and bad aspects. Simply stating that this is evil adds nothing to any social comprehension. I could write a complete manuscript against what is written, but I will try to be as concise as possible: briefly, since Aristotle, we know about the poetics, which speaks about all the imaginable possibilities; the rhetoric, which talks about the plausible things; the dialectic (or dialogic), which states about the truths; and finally, logic, which, starting from the truths, concludes the consequences of a given truth, eventually disclosing new truths. So, as the main fundament of this manuscript is nothing more than diffuse hate speech against ‘patriarchy’, all the rest is nothing but rubbish.