

Review of: "Are Academic Libraries Doing Enough to Support the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)? A State-of-the-Art Review"

Sarah Nakaziba¹

¹ Aga Khan University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Include the databases searched in the abstract
2. Add SDG as one of the keywords.
3. The Introduction is well presented. However, I do not see the need for all that content in Table 1. To limit the space and scrolling, you can just list the names of the Goals without adding their icons; this will make the table shorter.
4. In presenting the contribution of the library to achieving SDGs, you mention two (2) SDGs, i.e., SDG 4 and SDG 13; however, it is important that you show the reader that through access to information, promoting literacy, supporting digital inclusion, and fostering community development, people will become skilled and competent to further advance the attainment of all the SDGs in that paragraph.
5. The Aim of the Study makes a repetition of some information mentioned in the Introduction. Please delete it and just explicitly state the Aim. The reader will be in a position to remember the case you made in the Introduction to know where you are coming from.
6. On page 10, you mention that *25 articles are left that met the exclusion criteria*, I think you meant Inclusion Criteria
7. There are better PRISMA Flow diagrams that you can bench from, which will make your presentation much easier to understand.
8. Under Exclusion Criteria, on number 3, add "all other kinds of reviews."
9. I enjoyed your presentation on the findings and discussion.

In a nutshell, this is a well-written paper that is very informative and detailed. I hope you get to disseminate your findings using other platforms as well.