

## Review of: "Politics of Representation in Rural Tourism Micro-Entrepreneurship"

Abdul Halim Mohd Rahimi<sup>1</sup>

1 Universiti Malaysia Terengganu

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## **Title**

It suggests a focus on how rural tourism microentrepreneurs represent their destinations, which aligns with the abstract's discussion of perceptions of rural existence among tourism microentrepreneurs and their potential urban visitors.

However, the title could potentially be more specific to better reflect the content of the abstract. For instance, it could mention the specific region of study (North Carolina's Piedmont region) or the methodology used (visual Q methodology). This would give readers a clearer idea of the specifics of the study.

Also, the term "Politics of Representation" might imply a focus on power dynamics or ideological aspects, which is not explicitly addressed in the abstract. If these themes are not a significant part of the study, a more accurate term could be used.

Remember, a good title should be concise, accurate, and informative. It should give readers a clear idea of what the study is about without needing to read the abstract or the full paper.

## **Abstract**

The abstract provided does a good job of summarizing the research. It clearly states the research gap, the methodology used, the location of the study, the findings, and the implications of the research. However, there are a few areas that could be improved to make it more comprehensive for academic writing purposes:

**Objective**: While the abstract mentions the research gap, it could more explicitly state the objective of the study. What specific question or hypothesis is the study addressing?

**Methodology**: The abstract mentions the use of the visual Q methodology, but it could provide more detail on how this methodology was applied. How many images were used? How many participants were involved in the study?

**Results**: The abstract could provide more specific details about the results. For example, it mentions four distinct perceptions of rural life, but it could elaborate more on these perceptions.

**Conclusion**: The abstract could include a stronger conclusion that summarizes the significance of the findings and their implications for rural tourism marketing.



Future Work: Lastly, the abstract could mention potential future research directions based on the findings of the study.

Remember, an abstract should be a concise summary of the entire paper, providing enough information for readers to understand the purpose of the study, the methods used, the results, and the implications of the findings. It should be self-contained and understandable even without reading the full paper.

## Content

The whole content of the article was written accordingly, which is consistent with several previous publications by the author. The article may benefit academics and the industry in understanding rural tourism from micro-entrepreneurship perspectives in the North American context.