

Review of: "Sustainable futures: a quality-focused model for inclusive knowledge co-production"

Seema Purushothaman¹

1. Will be useful to clarify -

1 Azim Premji University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- why or how to codify tacit knowledge?

 how different is the 'harnessing' to make it transferable mentioned in the previous sentence different from codification?
- 2. Do you mean that policies for innovation are equivalent to policies for knowledge creation? Or are you saying that these agencies consider both these as equivalent?
- 3. What is SDC?
- 4. Trajectory of progress in the paper looks good. These phases are reflected in rest of the paper
- 5. Will any collaborative research or project become co-production of knowledge? Shouldn't the primary stake holder of the knowledge generated be an equal participant to make this process of knowledge generation as inclusive coenquiry? Not all cases here have community (taking society / community as the primary stakeholder of generated knowledge) as participants.
- 6. Was diversity of participants very similar in all 6 cases? Just like differentiating between multi, inter and transdisciplinary inquiries, how diverse were the collaborating disciplines and people?
- 7. If finding solution is critical to inclusive co-production, did the generated knowledge address any problem on the ground / in reality?
- 8. Since sustainability as a word is used widely in many contexts, will be useful to clarify what you mean by it here.
- 9. Sustainable planning and sustainable learning are mentioned close to each other. How do you differentiate?
- 10. Developmental project mentioned in the penultimate para is it development practice or policy making? Then it can't be from any one discipline, right?
- 11. Conclusion -second para: I think there is scope for clarification in the paper about the inclusion of primary stakeholders and what is meant by quality outcomes (supposed to be quality changes (that are sustainable in some sense/s) on the ground?)
- 12. I confess lack of familiarity with most literature cited here, and my perceptions on co-production of knowledge coming from Action research perspective influenced by Millers et al (2003), Brown et al (2003), Chandler and Torbet (2003), Caruso et al (2016) etc..