

Review of: "The Strategy of Russia in the Trans-Eurasian Connectivity"

Shengyu Gu¹

¹ Huizhou University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Your research paper titled "The Strategy of Russia in the Trans-Eurasian Connectivity" offers a comprehensive analysis of the evolving dynamics of Eurasian connectivity, particularly focusing on the International North–South Transport Corridor (INSTC) and its implications for global trade, regional influence, and strategic competition. Here are my observations and suggestions:

Strengths:

1. **Comprehensive Coverage:** The paper effectively captures the geopolitical and economic significance of the INSTC, especially in the context of Russia's strategic interests and its interactions with other key players like India and Iran.
2. **Contextual Analysis:** The inclusion of historical context, such as the establishment of the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU) and the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), provides a robust background for understanding current developments.
3. **Geopolitical Insight:** Your analysis of the potential of INSTC to counterbalance Chinese influence in Central Asia is insightful, highlighting the complex interplay of regional powers.

Areas for Improvement:

1. **Empirical Data:** The paper would benefit from more empirical data to support its assertions. This could include trade volumes, economic forecasts, or infrastructure development plans related to the INSTC.
2. **Comparative Analysis:** A deeper comparative analysis with other major corridors like the BRI and TRACECA would enhance the understanding of the INSTC's unique position and challenges.
3. **Focus on Challenges:** While the paper mentions challenges such as bureaucratic delays and inter-regional disputes, a more detailed exploration of these obstacles, including potential solutions, would be valuable.

Further Considerations:

1. **Stakeholder Perspectives:** Incorporate views and strategies of key stakeholders, not only at the state level but also from private sectors and regional organizations.
2. **Future Scenarios:** Provide potential future scenarios based on current developments. How might changes in global politics or economics influence the INSTC and Eurasian connectivity?
3. **Policy Recommendations:** Offer specific policy recommendations for countries involved in the INSTC, particularly

focusing on how to overcome the identified challenges.

Conclusion: Your paper is a significant contribution to the understanding of Eurasian connectivity and the strategic interests of Russia and other regional powers. By addressing the suggested improvements, it can offer even more valuable insights for policymakers, scholars, and other stakeholders interested in the future of regional and global trade dynamics.

To provide a rating for your research paper titled "The Strategy of Russia in the Trans-Eurasian Connectivity," I would consider several key aspects such as the depth of analysis, originality, empirical support, clarity of writing, and overall contribution to the field. Based on the abstract provided and the strengths and areas for improvement identified in my previous review, here is the rating:

Rating: 4/5

Justification:

Depth of Analysis (4/5): The paper offers a comprehensive analysis of the geopolitical and economic aspects of the INSTC, effectively contextualizing it within broader Eurasian dynamics.

Originality (4/5): The focus on the INSTC as a counterbalance to Chinese influence and the examination of Russia's strategy in Eurasian connectivity is a relatively unique perspective.

Empirical Support (3/5): While the paper is insightful, it could be strengthened with more empirical data to support its arguments.

Clarity of Writing (4/5): The abstract suggests that the paper is well-structured and clearly written, facilitating understanding of complex geopolitical issues.

Contribution to the Field (4/5): The paper contributes valuable insights into Eurasian geopolitics, particularly in the context of emerging trade corridors and strategic alignments.

Overall, the paper appears to be a strong contribution to the field, offering meaningful insights into Eurasian connectivity and strategic considerations. With some enhancements, particularly in empirical support and detailed analysis of challenges and policy recommendations, it could potentially achieve an even higher rating.