

Review of: "SUDA energy autárkeia system"

A. Santhi Mary Antony

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The abstract should be a minimum of 250 words. The abstract should be extended.
- 2. The manuscript requires revision to improve the quality of English.
- 3. Check that all references are cited in your article, and the citation order is sequential. Add 5 to 10 recent references.
- 4. Mention the research gaps in current understanding because the introduction needs improvement with a literature review.
- 5. The problem definition of this work is not clear. In Sect.2, the drawbacks of each conventional technique should be described clearly.
- 6. Quantitative values for the existing and the proposed work have to be tabulated for better understanding.
- 7. Mention the substantive discussion, including lessons, implications for theory and practice, next steps, and limitations.
- 8. The topic studied in this paper has been extensively investigated. The advantages of the current work compared with existing ones should be further emphasized. Also, furnish a discussion to establish how the proposed method is unique or different from other existing methods.
- 9. The experimental discussion section should emphasize the used performance metrics further.
- 10. The contributions of the proposed work, as described in the current version of the manuscript, are insufficient. Novelty has to be demonstrated, and the completeness and the clarity of the presentation should be improved.

Qeios ID: 52U5AT · https://doi.org/10.32388/52U5AT