

Review of: "On Violence: an Exchange with ChatGPT — ChatGPT and Suman Gupta"

Raquel Ferrer-Alvarez¹

¹ Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The contributions to the dialogue by ChatGPT demonstrate a high level of understanding of the legal and ethical aspects of violence. However, there are a few observations regarding the inclusion of certain terms and perspectives. The question about defining violence did not specifically mention "emotional abuse," which is considered a form of violence. Additionally, the phrase "may exist" in the response regarding legal regimes legitimizing violence should be changed to simply "exist" as a factual statement.

While ChatGPT initially remained impartial throughout the conversation, there are instances where it appeared to align more closely with the author's viewpoints. For example, during a point in the conversation about the impact of immigration, it was mentioned that "it is not uncommon for societies to experience anxiety... about the impact of immigration." This perspective suggests that such anxiety can be considered another form of violence, particularly within the psychological context, due to the impact of immigration and the consequences it may have on individuals. However, the article did not address other potential impacts, such as the economic or physical aspects that may also be associated with immigration. It is important to consider a broader range of impacts and perspectives when discussing the topic. Both the author and ChatGPT did not consider the alternative perspectives or feelings of the people involved in these situations.

It appears that the author's focus is primarily primarily concentrates on physical and political violence including ethics, with less emphasis on psychological and emotional abuse. It is important to have a less biased approach and consider the experiences of both sides when discussing what they perceive as violence in the described situations, such as immigration versus citizens. The aim should be to understand and address the different perspectives and feelings of violence from both sides.

Overall, it is essential to maintain a balanced and impartial approach, taking into account various viewpoints and understanding the different forms and impacts of violence.