

Review of: "Study of four families of the suborder Brachycera (Insecta: Diptera)"

Luís Bonifácio¹

¹ Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear author, it is the first time I am reviewing a review publication on a taxonomic group that is not detailed and has few selected study cases.

Numbering should be a guideline throughout the manuscript.

3. Family Asteiidae

3.1 Taxonomy, should be first, not 3.3

3.2 Morphological identification, instead of 3.1 Description

3.3 Biology, instead of 3.2

3.4 Case studies, instead of 4. Selected Manuscripts

3.4.1 Case Study 1, instead of 4.1 Study 1

Please provide some details about the cited species in Muránska Planina National Park (habitat, abundance, seasonality... whatever) because just the list is quite poor information and of limited interest.

3.4.2 Case study 2, instead of 4.1 Study 1

4. Instead of 5. Familia Lauxaniidae

4.1. Taxonomy, instead of 5.3

4.2 Morphological identification, instead of 5.1. Description

4.3 Biology, instead of 5.2

5.3. Biological cycle and 5.4. Habitat and Distribution Geographic should be included in the previous chapter (4.3 Biology)

4.4. Case studies, instead of 6. Selected Studies

4.4.1 Case Study 1, instead of 6.1.

4.4.2 Case study 2, instead of 6.2.

4.4.3 Case study 3, instead of 6.3.

5. Family Mydidae, instead of 7

5.1. Taxonomy, instead of 7.3.

5.2 Morphological identification, instead of 7.1 Description

5.3 Biology, instead of 7.2.

5.4 Case Studies. 8. The following studies were selected

8.1. Study 1

8.2. Study 2

The information in both case studies presented for this family cannot be considered as case studies but contributions to the Biology chapter. Please find identical case studies as for previous families.

6. Family Neriidae, instead of 9.

9.1. Neriodea is a superfamily of Acalypttratae flies - This should not be a chapter. The information included should be moved to the Biology chapter.

6.1. Taxonomy, instead of 9.4.

6.2 Morphological identification, instead of description, instead of 9.2.

6.3 Biology, instead of 9.3.

6.4 Case studies

6.4.1 Case Study 1 – result of merging Study 1 (10.1) and Study 2 (10.2) (list of species from different parts of the world)

6.4.2 Case study 2, instead of 10.3 Study 3

7. Discussion and Conclusion, instead of 11. Conclusion

Should discuss using more of the information in the case studies and eventually adding more global references.