

# Review of: "Why a uniformly accelerated classical charge must radiate"

Hristos T. Anastassiou<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> International Hellenic University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is difficult to follow and comprehend, due to lack of vital information. Specifically:

1. Several quantities in eq. (2) are not defined. The author claims that the notation follows Schott [4], however this is a book published in 1912, and therefore hard to access.
2. Eq. (3) in the present form makes no sense. On the LHS  $u$  is set equal to 0, however in the RHS  $u$  is retained as  $u$ . Moreover, there is no figure illustrate the angular direction with respect to the direction of motion.
3. In (6) and the unnumbered equations after (6),  $Q$  and  $P$  are used as subscripts, however in the text and in Fig.1  $P_{1,2}$  and  $Q_{1,2}$  are mentioned, showing some sort of inconsistency and making the equations hard to follow.