

# Review of: "Public Health Care Leadership Competencies Through the Lens of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review of What Matters in Managing Global Health Crisis"

Malarvizhi Sinayah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universiti Malaya

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article conducts a thorough exploration of crisis leadership competencies in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, showcasing notable strengths. The abstract effectively communicates the study's purpose and significance, while the introduction adeptly establishes the importance of leadership during crises and identifies existing gaps in the literature. The literature review stands out for its skillful analysis of various crisis leadership frameworks, seamlessly connecting them to real-world examples, thereby enhancing the study's credibility. The methodology section ensures transparency in study selection, and the acknowledgment of geographical and sectoral biases adds to the article's credibility. The results and discussion section successfully synthesizes key competencies, bolstered by real-world examples for practical insights.

However, the article could see improvements. While the introduction effectively contextualizes the study, a more explicit statement regarding the research gap would enhance clarity. Additionally, the methodology section, though thorough, could provide further details on the criteria for study inclusion. A more nuanced analysis of the strengths and limitations of the selected studies would contribute to a more robust methodology.

In the conclusions, the article aptly summarizes key insights, particularly emphasizing the pivotal role of effective communication during crises. The actionable recommendations could be fortified by offering more specific guidance on implementing improvements in crisis leadership. Furthermore, a more in-depth discussion on overcoming challenges related to the infodemic and technology use would enhance the practical applicability of the conclusions.

In summary, the article makes a valuable contribution to understanding crisis leadership competencies. Addressing potential biases in the methodology and incorporating more diverse examples would enhance the research's robustness and global applicability. Explicit directions for future research or practical implementations in the conclusion would strengthen the article's overall impact.