

Review of: "Impact of medical, surgical and expectant management on spontaneous miscarriage/abortion on first trimester: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials"

Tewodros Eshete¹

1 St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

I would like to thank you for having interest to conduct a research on this topic which can gives insight into the maternal health. Hereby, I have listed some of the revision points for your reference.

Comments on "Impact of medical, surgical and expectant management on spontaneous miscarriage/abortion on first trimester: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials"

- In Abstract, correct PRISAM (Preferred Report Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis) as PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis)
- The title used in this paper and the one registered in the PROSPERO is opposite. Please clarify it. It needs to be modified in either of the places.
- In the first paragraph of the introduction, please use the references at each sentence completion not at the end of the paragraph. This will help the reader understand from which reference the statement is found.
- In page 3, the references that you used as [14,15] are not clear. You have to put what you have done in eligibility, search strategy, and data extraction. Those references are not even similar to your study outcome. Please remove or modify it.
- The title says SR/MA of randomised controlled trial but the studies included are Randomized trials, quasi-randomized studies, cohort studies and case-control studies. This is not going in line with each other. Please modify the title.
- The outcomes stated in the data extraction section and statistical analysis section are not consistent. Please make them consistent to each other.
- From the PRISMA flow chart, there are 43 research articles excluded due to having the wrong outcome. Since you tried
 to involve almost all the outcomes that could occur, you excluded many of the studies due to having the wrong
 outcome. What are the wrong outcomes of the studies?
- There are wrong interpretations to the findings which were found statistically non-significant (Medical Vs Expectant on abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding, surgical Vs expectant on Infection rate). Therefore, please re-write it well in a way that can show in which relationship you do and do not have evidence to conclude.

