Review of: "Donald Davidson's Theory of Action in Relation to Responsibility: Addressing Crisis of Social Development in Africa"

Sibonile Linda Khumalo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Check the references that argue for Africa's underdevelopment but also present a counter-narrative showing the progression from this particular colonial narrative. Also, try to avoid the universalism trap, i.e. Africa is not homogenous, demonstrate these nuances, and also be clear in how you are conceptualizing underdevelopment.

Q: Is Africa grossly underdevelopment? what evidence do you have to support this colonial perspective? which parts of Africa vs which others?

"Africans are responsible for Africa's social development woes" Is this argument justified given the colonial and neocolonial order in which the continent's underdevelopment woes are embedded

Substantiate claims/ sweeping statements eg "It is unfortunate that rather than squarely facing challenges, Africans engage in 'blame games." Is this based on emotive reasoning?

Note the critique of Davidson, eg do Africans as agents operate in a linear path to action?

While the title has some potential, the paper generally does not establish a solid argument to address the said research question. There are more claims than arguments based on particular evidence/practice . Perhaps consider focusing of on a particular context/case study in the African context which helps you critically unpack your research question.