

Review of: "Charles Sanders Peirce and the Place of Chaos in the New World Order"

Rocco Monti

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I found this article quite confusing regarding some theoretical cores of Peirce's philosophy. To claim, without explaining what it is, that fallibilism is an expression of the theory of randomness is a strong statement, which, if sources are not cited, is misleading. To argue that Peirce thinks of 'mind' in an anthropomorphic way, and that this is the result of a mixture of Platonism and panpsychism, requires a more articulate and source-based argument. In general, there is a fairly constant tendency to use labels to summarise more articulate positions: 'rationalist', 'idealist', 'empiricist', 'naturalist', etc. Finally, I would like to emphasise a methodological point: it is necessary to follow the canonical method used to cite Peirce (W, CP, R, NEM, etc.); the use of translations does not facilitate the understanding of the text.