Review of: "Developing and Evaluating the Marriage Education Program: The Example of the Turkish Cypriot Community"

Maja Strugar Jelaca[1]

1 University of Novi Sad

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In the Introduction authors did not provide the information about the aim of the study as well as the research question they want to answer. Furthermore, the authors did not explain why they analyses that topic. Is their any theoretical research gap or some special national force to overcome alarming statistical results. It is explained in the segment Problem of the research but it must be mentioned in short as well as in the Introduction. It is not clear why authors explain lifelong learning in the introduction.

In the Literature review the study of the Canel (2007) was explained but it was not mentioned the crucial thing as obtained results of that empirical study. The information about statistical methods which was used in that study is not mandatory.

The sentence: „In this study, embedded design, one of the mixed research methods in which qualitative and quantitative methods are used together, was used." is confusing.

All the mentioned researches in literature review has no logical sequence in the text. Furthermore, there is no conclusion according to all these mentioned studies and their results.

In the segment Problem of the research, the data about the number of marriages and divorces should be presented in table. It should be added the percentage changes from year to year or the authors will use one year as a base. One important information which was not mentioned is at which place is Turkish Republic of Cyprus in the global context using the global divorce rates?

It is mentioned that authors analysis the effectiveness of Marriage Education Program (EEP) which was implemented, but that program was not explained. That particular program should be explained.

The Hypotheses was not mentioned, it should be added.

In the segment Methodology, scales: Inönü Marriage Attitude Scale (İETÖ) developed by Bayoğlu and Atlı (2014) and the Marriage Relationship Beliefs Scale (EİİÖ) developed by Kızıl and Nazlı (2018) should be explained in details. The reliability of the scale should be analyses, for example Crombach Alpha. Also, in the part about Sample should be added the table: Demographic characteristics about sample.

In the Discussion authors say that their results are according to the study of the authors Dargahi et al., 2017 and other.

Than these studies and their results should be presented in the Literature review not only in this segment.