

Review of: "Reflections on Bordering, Micropolitics and Everyday Life in Peacebuilding Processes: Revisiting the Lingering Legacy of the 1949 Armistice Agreements"

Zinnia Shweiry¹

¹ American University of Beirut

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper needs to be proofread and edited. It has quite a few grammatical errors including spelling, capitalization of authors' names, parallelism, etc. Some sentences are vague because of shaky sentence structure. With that said, the topic is well-researched from several angles and perspectives. Most of the study reads like a history lesson. The research is quite interesting, and the findings are logical and possible. Some ideas, though, need to be referenced. I feel that more focus on the thesis statement or claim is warranted. There is no study really but a literature review of the many different opinions concerning peace-building strategies.

Here are the revisions I would suggest:

- Proofread more closely for grammatical, citations, and referencing.
- Structure the paragraphs more logically. The organization can be much clearer with ideas flowing smoothly from one paragraph to another. The connection between the different ideas has to be drawn out
- Explain more clearly the different approaches by providing detailed descriptions of each, along with the major players in this conflict.

It is an article that should be published (after the revisions are done) because of its innovative examination of peace-building strategies in a conflict that has been growing over the years, and with the recent attacks between Israel and Palestine, this conflict cannot be more relevant and imposing.