

Review of: "Safe City Concept in Smart City Planning"

Daiane Maria de Genaro Chirolí¹

¹ Federal University of Technology - Paraná/Brazil (UTFPR)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is relevant t,however, for consideration for publication, some improvements are needed:

In-Depth and Clearer Literature Review: The article would benefit from a more thorough and detailed literature review. To better contextualize the study and highlight its contributions, I suggest that the authors include a more comprehensive analysis of existing research in the field.

More Detailed Methodology: The methodology section should be expanded to provide a clearer and more detailed view of the research process, including the methods and techniques used. Furthermore, evaluation criteria should be specified in accordance with recognized best practices in the relevant literature.

In-Depth Results: The results presented in the article can be enhanced with a more detailed analysis and concrete examples that help illustrate the findings. Graphs and tables can be incorporated for better visualization.

Comprehensive Discussion: In the discussion section, it is crucial for the authors to address the managerial and practical implications of their findings. Additionally, they should highlight the specific contributions of this study to the research area.

Robust Conclusion: The conclusion should succinctly summarize the main findings, emphasizing their managerial implications, and indicating possible directions for future research.

In summary, the article shows potential but requires substantial revisions to meet the journal's quality standards. I encourage the authors to carefully consider these suggestions and to submit a revised version of the manuscript.