Review of: "Augmented Reality (AR) Technology on Student Engagement: An Experimental Research Study"

James Stanfield[1]

1 University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

A literature review is missing. I would expect at least 10 recent papers to be critically reviewed, and then the research gap identified. The findings from this study can then be compared and contrasted with the previous research in the discussion section.

Questionnaires should be moved to the Appendix, and the findings need to be written up instead of just being displayed.

There is not enough information about the kind of AR that is being used and how it was used in the classroom. A blanket reference to AR is meaningless.

Comparing AR with nothing adds little value. Studies already show that AR can improve engagement. Understanding why or why it doesn't improve engagement would be much more interesting. It would also be interesting to know more about how AR was integrated into the curriculum and any challenges encountered.

If the authors could identify an approach for introducing AR into the classroom, then this would also add value.