

# Review of: "The Pandora Box from 12 Countries: Who Benefits More from Modern Interventions?"

Goran Miladinov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ss. Cyril and Methodius University of Skopje

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

*Review on manuscript:* Qeios ID: JPICV8; · <https://doi.org/10.32388/JPICV8>, Qeios:

**Title:** The Pandora Box from 12 Countries: Who Benefits More from Modern Interventions?

## Introduction

The Introduction is short, precise, with well-structured and relevant information.

## Results

The main results are very well presented, and therefore they can be completely comprehended.

## Discussion

The author provides detailed and reasonable discussion points.

## Conclusion

The section links the main findings to the research paper and places the obtained results in the context of the research framework discussed previously.

## Methods and Materials

This section explains the SHARE longitudinal study comprehensively and in detail. Furthermore, the principles of the multivariate analysis of the time-varying Cox and Cox-frailty regression models are explained quite extensively, providing well good argumentation for why this particular set of used variables was selected.

## General comment

The paper successfully brings clarity regarding the research aim of the study. Therefore, it is made clear that renal disease as a comorbidity among patients with circulatory failure significantly increases the risk of mortality. The main message of the study is clear as well. All in all, the paper is presented as a coherently argued piece of writing.

