

Review of: "[General Review] Digital Transformation of Government Public Library Services in Bangladesh"

Igor Dunayev¹

¹ Kharkiv National University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The reviewer welcomes the author's attempt to deepen his involvement with the international scientific community by publishing his article online. The presentation style, the depth of the review, the argumentation of one's own opinions and, most importantly, the obtained results indicate the scientific youth of the author and his/her few publishing attempts before. The reviewer applauds the courage of the author and, I hope, the thirst for self-improvement in the scientific path and career.

The article has a pronounced abstract and very overview character. The article focuses on the fixation of existing states "as is" in the library sphere of different countries or world continents. I am sure that the article is intended for other novice scientists and interested students who are interested in a simple presentation and concise description and experience from different countries.

Remark:

1. The final conclusions are seen as trivially simple, a priori obvious and such that come to mind immediately after reading the topic and purpose. Understanding the topic and the set goal, the reviewer believes that the announced results (in the conclusions) are not worth the research effort.
2. The author does not pursue any ambitious goal if one imagines that the digital transformation of libraries will increase: what greater and socially significant common good will be achieved for the country of Bangladesh and the local population, apart from facilitating business processes in serving citizens? This is important because the simplicity of the author's approach to the article beckons for something more and more interesting than the simple access and calls for increased funding of libraries contained in the last paragraphs of the article, which would normally be read by the reader and impartial reviewer.

Reviewer:

Professor of the Department of Economic Policy and Management Educational and Scientific Institute "Institute of Public Administration" of V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Doctor of Science in Public Administration, full professor

Igor Dunayev

