

Review of: "COVID-19 or Russia-Ukraine conflict: which is informative in defining the dynamic relationship between Bitcoin and major energy commodities?"

Irfan Muhammad

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is subject to the following major revisions:

Abstract: The abstract should be written in the following context: Background, objective(s), methods, results, conclusions, policy recommendations.

Introduction: The introduction lacks study background. What is the novelty? How is your study different from other studies? Please explain in detail.

Many important studies related to COVID-19 have been ignored. For instance, consult the following studies and improve your study:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2021.06.017>

<https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010007822400103>

<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18094577>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2022.03.032>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.112848>

How you can compare your results with other studies of same geographical regions. It is pivotal to tie your results with other relevant literature.

Discussion: The study discussion is very generic and do not stem from study findings. It is suggested to rearrange discussion based on study findings.

Conclusions: Conclusions are very weak and miss several important dimensions. To strengthen the contents and quality of the study, conclusions must be revised for more clarity and for the ease of normal readers.

Policy recommendations: Specific policy recommendations should be put forward according to the target sample. General policies are of no use in scholarly articles.

Study limitations should be provided along with future research directions for prospective scholars interested in the similar

works.

The authors have used several old references to support their arguments. We are in 2023 and you are using such old references. In order to nurture the importance of study, references should be updated using recent and relevant studies.

There is an intermingle of capital and small letters. Please avoid this practice in scientific writing.

Finally, the manuscript can be benefited if the authors thoroughly proofread it in terms of English language mistakes and syntax structure.