

Review of: "How Well Are We Protecting Human Research Subjects?"

Paulo Bandiera-Paiva¹

1 Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article offers a concise yet comprehensive overview of the evolution of protections for human research subjects.

This article provides a strong foundation for understanding the evolution of human research protections and the steps necessary to ensure that ethical research practices continue to improve.

The article points out the potential advantages of HRPP accreditation in strengthening human research protections but lacks specific examples of its effectiveness. It suggests that accredited HRPPs likely enforce higher standards and more oversight than IRBs alone, yet questions remain about whether accreditation truly enhances protections, calling for further research to evaluate its impact.

The article clearly outlines the goal of the 2018 Common Rule revisions to enhance human subject protections while reducing administrative burdens, particularly through updated consent rules.

The suggested data-driven approach represents a significant transformation in how human research protections are evaluated.

Qeios ID: 5OJ7CB · https://doi.org/10.32388/5OJ7CB