

# Review of: "Phytochemical Analysis and Antioxidant Activity of Extracts from *Berchemia zeyheri* — A Swazi Medicinal Plant"

Temitayo Ajayi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. No plant authority is included in the title of the journal and subsequently in the rest of the paper
2. In the abstract, there is no clear structure between the introduction, methodology, and the results
3. Keywords should immediately follow the abstract
4. Starting a sentence with "known" is improper (1. Introduction)
5. Each sentence under the introduction should be specific and should have a link to the next sentence
6. The description of the flowers and the fruit is vague and inconsistent with the rest of the paragraph (1. Introduction)
7. Generally, there is improper use of punctuation
8. Double-check your references throughout the journal
9. "et al.," should be "*et al.*,"
10. "stem-bark" should be "stem bark"
11. Referring to "To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of this kind, especially *B. zeyheri* collected in the Kingdom of Eswatini" is not needed in a scientific journal as it is
12. The manuscript should be written in the past impersonal tense. Using words like "our" and "we" is not acceptable
13. Specify each measurement used (Materials and methods)
14. When introducing a new plant (*V. fausta*), specify its relationship to the plant in focus
15. When referring to a table or a figure, it is not appropriate to write "refer to Table 1"; instead, it should be "Table 1"

## OVERALL COMMENTS

1. The research carried out is very solid and informational
2. The use of correct words among sentences is crucial in passing the required information
3. Correct usage of punctuation
4. Consistent use of the right tense for the manuscript