

Review of: "The teaching of Apostle Peter and Relevance to The Christian Religious Education in Bantul Regency and Gunung Kidul Regency Yogyakarta"

Irudayaselvam Stanislaus

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review

- Professional editors must revise, review, correct, and edit this article. It looks like a lengthy homily or a sermon. In no
 way it resembles systematic research. None of the sections in the article justifies a scientific presentation. Starting
 from the abstract, which has no order, each section must be rewritten and avoid repetitions.
 - 1. One of the examples of repetitions of the same sentences: this sentence in the introduction is exactly repeated in the second paragraph of the literature review. Plan here can be understood in two ways: *First,* as knowledge, vision, or understanding made before something happens, and that something will happen. This kind of pre-existing knowledge rests with God, who with only one powerful glance sees everything that has been, is, and will ever...
 - 2. Repetition of words: The anastrophe Greek way of life; behavior, behavior, behavior.
- 2. The colloquial form is used in most parts of the article: ex. Thanks God!
- 3. Many errors are found: The book of Ephesians. This should be 'the letter of St. Paul to the Ephesians.'
- 4. It needs to be clarified Why is the research methodology explained? This is totally out of place.
- 5. The authors claim that this is quantitative research, but something needs to be mentioned about the respondents, questionnaire or statistical tests, etc.; this is very vague.
- 6. The text lacks logical presentation: After the names of the authors, the quotations are directly mentioned. There are no relations between the text and the reference made.
- 7. The results and discussion section is irrelevant. No result is discussed.

Qeios ID: 5QFYQ2 · https://doi.org/10.32388/5QFYQ2