Review of: "Emotional Intelligence and Cybervictimization: Stratified Multilevel Analysis With Synthetic Data"

Iram Naz[1]

1 National University of Science and Technology

Dear Editor

Thank you for sending me the manuscript for review. I have carefully examined the document and have several significant observations and suggestions for improvement.

Firstly, the presentation and formatting of the paper need substantial improvement to enhance its professionalism and readability. The current layout reflects a lack of attention to detail and may detract from the credibility of the research.

Moving on to the technical aspects, the abstract requires revision for clarity and completeness. It should succinctly outline the study's objective, the sample characteristics, key findings, and recommendations. Additionally, it would be beneficial to include a statement on the study's objective and its contribution to addressing existing gaps in the literature.

I noted that the hypothesis is embedded within the literature review section, which can confuse readers. It's preferable to clearly state the hypothesis separately to ensure clarity and coherence.

Regarding the results, there's a need for better interpretation to elucidate the significance of the findings. Ambiguities or lack of clarity in this section could undermine the paper's impact and validity.

Given these concerns, I regret to inform you that I cannot accept the study in its current form. However, I encourage you to address the outlined issues and resubmit the manuscript for further consideration. Rewriting the paper with careful attention to formatting, abstract clarity, hypothesis presentation, and results interpretation will significantly enhance its quality and chances of acceptance.

I look forward to reviewing the revised manuscript.

Regards