

Peer Review

Review of: "The Moral Responsibility of Designers Regarding AI: Protocol for a Meta-Aggregative Systematic Review"

Omoregie Charles Osifo¹

1. University of Vaasa, Finland

I would rate the work overall to be of good scientific standard. The qualitative method allows for a high level of flexibility, and because the qualitative method was adopted, the approach has scientific validation.

The study aims to present a systematic review protocol in exploring the moral responsibility of designers in the context of artificial intelligence (AI). In addition, the review synthesizes qualitative evidence to address the ethical implications of designer choices and their impact on the development, improvement, and use of AI systems, products, and services by leveraging a meta-aggregative approach.

The methodological outline (checking for the existence of other systematic reviews on the topic of interest; drawing up the guiding research question; defining the team (advisory group); drawing up the research protocol; searching phase; screening phase; methodological quality assessment phase; data extraction phase; analysis and synthesis phase; evidence confidence analysis phase; and report writing phase) of the work aligns with scientific steps or strategies in the qualitative method. In addition, the strategies were useful to the main objective of the study and in answering the questions raised.

I would recommend the paper for publication because it is a methodological protocol presentation and the qualitative method allows for a high level of flexibility. However, the final report should be more directed at creating a synthesis between the research question, objectives, and theory. In addition, the final report should be executed with more empirical clarity and thoroughness.

Charles Osifo

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.