

Review of: "Longevity of Electric Vehicle Operations"

Faissal Jelti¹

1 Université Moulay Ismail

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This paper presents a detailed exploration of the various factors that influence the sustainability of electric vehicle (EV) operations. However, the structure of the paper is too weak in terms of analysis and discussion of the raised aspects.

For scientific writing, I recommend following the IMRaD structure of this paper, i.e. Introduction, Methods, Results and Discussion.

Other comments:

1/The abstract should better summarise the work performed and key findings.

2/The author should cite recent studies

^K. T. Chau, C. C. Chan and C. Liu, "Overview of Permanent-Magnet Brushless Drives for Electric and Hybrid Electric Vehicles," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 2246-2257, June 2008, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2008.918403.

^C. Alaoui and Z. M. Salameh, "A novel thermal management for electric and hybrid vehicles," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 468-476, March 2005, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2004.842444.

3/ The author should pay attention to the reference quoted [10]: "The evolution of battery technology can be traced back". This reference refers to a case study of India.

Qeios ID: 5RUJZP · https://doi.org/10.32388/5RUJZP