

Peer Review

Review of: "Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Brazilian Food: A Critical Review of Levels, Human Health Risk Assessment, and Potential Gaps in the Recent Literature"

Jonae Wood¹

1. North Carolina A&T State University, United States

Thank you for your contribution. The paper is very informative, interesting, well-written, and easy to follow. The graphs are also very informative and provide a good visual representation of what is being discussed in the paper. Here are a few minor revisions:

There are minor instances of sentence restructuring that should occur throughout the manuscript to ensure that the true intention of the thought is not lost on the reader. For example, the sentence reads "Humans are daily exposed to multiple environmental pollutants, contributing to cumulative cancer risk profiles." But consider rewording this sentence to "On a daily basis, humans are exposed to multiple environmental pollutants, contributing to cumulative cancer risk profiles." Or "Each day, humans are exposed to multiple environmental pollutants, contributing to cumulative cancer risk profiles."

4.1. Research Infrastructure Inequities and Monitoring Limitations

Overall, I think the manuscript highlights an important issue not just nationally but globally. There were valuable insights discussed in this comprehensive study that even focused on socioeconomic, along with environmental, factors that impacted the study. This made for a robust discussion on the various shortcomings of the reviewed data.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.