

Review of: "Proof of Concept typology: a method for classification of PoC activities according to a technology cycle timeframe"

Mincheol Choi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It was a pleasant experience to review this article, which is highly relevant to my recent interests.

The paper is generally concise and legible, and its aim and contribution are quite clear.

As the author mentioned in the article, however, I think that analysis based on more abundant data on PoCs is essential to make this work more useful for policy practitioners. So, a series of further studies (after this study) focusing on data availability seems to be necessary.

Also, I have a concern: since the scope of the category of the Gartner descriptors is quite wide, it seems that multiple PoC types could coexist within a single category. In other words, the classifications (i.e. Gartner descriptors and PoC types) do not seem to match each other in terms of their hierarchy. Hence, it would be desirable to present additional verification to dispel this concern.

Qeios ID: 5UZXMC · https://doi.org/10.32388/5UZXMC